

In the context of Renewable energy and a net-zero target, there is a gap between the announcements & the ground reality. Do you agree with this view? Critically Analyze.


The proverb "Action Speaks Louder than words" will be ideally suit for this action in the recent time on COP 26 - Glasgow India has announced Net Zero by 2070 ; 500 GW of Renewable energy, 80% Power from Renewable source, cutdown on 1 billion carbon emission by 2030.

All its well and good which is even achievable in the coming future. but ~~the~~ country has complied to treaty in lethargic manner.

History : i) Kyoto protocol → developed country walked out

ii) Paris Agreement → developed country walked out

No^o, COP
iii) Glasgow - 26 → Announced same as previous times.



- Developed countries will lose eye on development than saving earth hence lack of technology sharing
- The funding is only on development, haven't complied on funding
- The ruling parties are more interested in flashy development policy which will keep them in power than saving Earth
- The developed country who developed by polluting now wants to developing country to stop pollution, There is a difference between heavy pollution & Survival pollution.
- With all this we can just push the COP-26 as a failure before most country didn't even start toward zero emission, now major countries have started. As it is told "a million mile journey starts with single step" So its not all bad.