

Wider Concerns of Sec 377

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• On a petition relating to the LGBTQI community, the Supreme Court's stance seems to be narrow.

\n

• It calls for expanding the SC's considerations to acknowledge the wider concerns of Sec 377.

\n

 $n\$

What is the recent development?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Supreme Court is hearing a curative petition against one of its 2013 judgment.

\n

- \bullet The 2013 SC judgment reversed a 2009 judgment of the Delhi High Court. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- The Delhi HC judgement made Sec 377 of the Indian Penal Code invalid.
- Section 377 criminalises sexual activities "against the order of nature", including homosexual activities.
- \bullet This significantly affects India's LGBTQI community. $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\mbox{\sc h}}}}$

 $n\n$

What is SC's stance?

 $n\n$

\n

• The 2013 SC judgement was one of the lowest moments for human rights in India.

\n

• Supreme Court has now been supportive to the LGBTQI rights in its initial observations.

۱n

• However, it has said that it would concern itself only with the question of the validity of Sec 377.

\n

• It would also examine the correctness of the Supreme Court's 2013 judgment.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

۱n

- The Supreme Court's approach appears to be a limited one.
- As, it tries to interpret a broader human rights and justice issue as a matter of pure constitutional validity.
- \bullet The law is not abstract, and it is important to consider if the law impacts the lived experiences of human beings. \n
- \bullet It thus calls for SC to also concern itself with the impact of Sec 377 on the lives of the LGBTQI community. \n

 $n\$

What is the need?

 $n\n$

\n

- Failure to use a rights-based approach has serious social repercussions.
- Evidently, suicide rates are higher among sexual minorities.
- Lack of rights and protections promotes a homophobic culture.
- It overemphasises and empowers patriarchy and masculinity.
- Widespread homophobia leads gay men and women to create sub-cultures of self-hate, internalised homophobia, and oppression.
- Lack of social acceptance and legal rights leads to abuse, violence, isolation,

and mental illness.

\n

 \bullet Importantly, a rights-based framework is essential to India's quest for social and economic development. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet SC should take precedence from its own 2014 judgment that recognises transgender community as a third gender category. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- It has also recently acknowledged "sexual orientation" an essential attribute of "identity" and "privacy".
- \bullet It should thus consider the issue of broader rights for sexual minorities. $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\mbox{\sc h}}}}$
- This should include issues such as right to form partnerships, inheritance, employment equality, and so on.
- India's sexual minorities need not only decriminalisation but rights and protections from gender-identity-based discrimination.
- Clearly, the Court has to expand its ambit to positively affect the lives of millions of Indians.

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

