

Understanding Back Series GDP Data

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- An expert committee set up by National Statistical Commission (NSC) released recently the report on back series GDP data.
- In this context, it is essential to understand certain aspects associated with the report and the calculations.

 $n\$

What was the 2015 shift?

 $n\n$

\n

- In 2015, the government moved to a new base year of 2011-12 from the earlier 2004-05 for national income accounting.
- The base year of national accounts had been revised earlier in 2010.
- In the new series, the Central Statistics Office (CSO) did away with Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at factor cost.
- It adopted the international practice of valuing industry-wise estimates as gross value added (GVA) at basic prices.

 $n\n$

What was its effect?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• With the new base year, the growth rate of the economy for 2013-14 was estimated at 6.9%.

\n

• But notably, it was 4.7% on the 2004-05 base.

\n

 \bullet Similarly, the growth rate for 2012-13 was revised upwards to 5.1% from 4.5%.

\n

 \bullet Growth of the manufacturing sector also became higher in the new series.

 $n\n$

What was the resultant challenge?

 $n\n$

۱n

• **MCA-21** - It is an e-governance initiative of the Ministry of Company Affairs (MCA) that was launched in 2006.

\n

• It allows firms to electronically file their financial results and advance filing of corporate accounts to calculate national accounts.

\n

- The CSO, as usual, used the establishment-based datasets.
- These are Index of Industrial Production (IIP) and Annual Survey of Industries (ASI).

۱'n

• But apart from this, it started to use the enterprise-level corporate database of MCA-21.

\n

- **Data** With the above change, for years preceding 2011-12, the CSO faced issues for evaluating GDP with the new base year.
- This was due to the lack of availability of the MCA-21 database.
- Hence the back series calculation proved to be a "major statistical challenge".

\n

 $n\n$

What does the GDP, GVA difference imply?

 $n\n$

۱'n

- As per the new methodology, CSO calculates GDP by adding product taxes to the GVA at basic prices, and removing subsidies.
- [GDP = GVA at basic prices + Product taxes subsidies on products]

\n

• GDP, which incorporates indirect tax collections net of subsidies, should normally be higher than GVA.

\n

• But if net indirect tax collections grow slower than subsidies, GVA could be higher than GDP.

\n

- The new series shows that on at least 12 occasions out of 18 until 2011-12, GVA was higher than GDP.
- \bullet This is possibly because fertiliser subsidy was scaled up significantly from 2005-06 following poor agricultural growth. $\mbox{\ \ }\mbox{\ \ }\mb$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

