

The Marriage Bill

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Marriages (Compulsory Registration and Prevention of Wasteful Expenditure) Bill was introduced by private members in the Lok Sabha.

 $n\$

What is a private member's bill?

 $n\n$

\n

- Members of parliament other than ministers are called private members and bills presented by them are known as private member's bills.
- Money bill canot be introduced by a private member, but a constitutional amendment bill can be introduced by a private member.
- Till date, only 15 private members' bills have ever been passed by the Indian Parliament. Six of these were in 1956 alone.
- The Rights of Transgender Persons Bill passed in 2015 was the first private member's bill to get the upper house's approval in the past 45 years.

\n

• In the current Lok Sabha, 588 private bills have already been introduced. Only 11 of them have actually come up for discussion.

 $n\n$

What does the bill say?

 $n\n$

\n

• The aim of the bill is to "prevent extravagant and wasteful

expenditure" in weddings.

\n

- The bill states that, if a family spends above Rs 5 lakh on a wedding, it should contribute 10% of that amount to a welfare fund that is to be used to facilitate marriages of girls from poor families.
- It seeks to limit the number of guests one can invite and dishes that can be served in weddings.

 $n\$

What are the advantages?

 $n\$

\n

- The intention behind is undoubtedly a noble one if the govt welfare fund stays free of corruption and mishandling.
- It could provide the much needed relief to low income families in doing **social justice** to their key life events.
- It will encourage simplicity because weddings in India perpetuates a negative social pressure of spending more to show value.
- It would **ease some pressure of the paying parties** i.e usually the bride's family, who could possibly be under pressure to spend beyond their comfort levels.

۱'n

 \bullet Much of the food and other perishables used in weddings so waste. This undermines the food security of the country. \n

 $n\n$

What are the disadvantages?

 $n\n$

\n

• An enforcement on how to celebrate a wedding is not democratic in nature.

\n

- A legal solution for a social issue is often seen as an aggressive policy.
- It will be seen as unnecessary and unwarranted government

interference in private affairs.

\n

• The mandatory 10% contribution would be perceived as yet another tax levied on the citizens.

\n

• The cap of 5 lakhs is a very low amount even to an average urban middle class standards.

\n

 The enforcement of the law would also be extremely difficult as it is likely to result in complications of tracking expenses and thus evading the 10% payment.

\n

 Regulations will be hard to manage and it will call for a significant state apparatus.

\n

 The scope for loopholes is huge. e.g It would be difficult to distinguish weddings from engagements and receptions which are held at an earlier or later date.

\n

- Some families see weddings as a perfect occasions for reunions and don't mind investing heavily for once in providing for their guests.
- The bill does not account for the fact that **weddings are an economic** activity for many who work in the supply chain towards organizing them.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Indian Express

 $n\n$

\n

