The Law on ‘Suspension of Sentence’

Mains: *GS II – Judiciary*

Why in News?

On December 29, a three-judge vacation bench of the Supreme Court, consisting of Chief Justice of India (CJI) Surya Kant, Justice J.K. Maheshwari, and Justice A.G. Masih, stayed the Delhi High Court’s decision to suspend the life sentence of Kuldeep Singh Sengar, a former four-time MLA, who was convicted in the infamous 2017 Unnao rape case.

What is the background of the unnao rape case?

- **2017** – Kuldeep Singh Sengar, then a sitting MLA, allegedly raped a minor girl at his residence.
- **2018** – The incident was marred by police inaction and alleged intimidation of the victim, prompting the case to be transferred to the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI).
- The trial was then shifted to Delhi under the Supreme Court’s directions.
- **2019** – On December 16, 2019, Sengar was convicted, and on December 20, 2019, he was sentenced to life imprisonment until the end of his natural life.
- **2025** – Despite his conviction, Sengar filed an appeal against his sentence, leading to the Delhi High Court’s decision to suspend his sentence on December 23, 2025, pending the appeal.

What is the legal framework on suspension of sentence?

- **Conclusion of a trial** – Once a trial concludes, an accused is either acquitted or convicted.
- **Conviction** – A conviction displaces the “presumption of innocence” and renders the sentence operative, requiring the convict to undergo the awarded punishment.
- **Suspension of sentence** – However, under Section Section 389 of the CrPC, 1973 (now Section 430 of the BNSS, 2023), *a convict may seek suspension of the sentence* during the pendency of an appeal.
- The suspension of the sentence, however, does not affect the finding of guilt but only suspends the execution of the punishment.
- **Differences in legal approach** – While suspension of sentence is routine in cases involving short-term or fixed-term sentences, the legal approach is different in cases involving serious crimes like rape, particularly those punishable by life imprisonment.
- In such cases, courts are generally cautious about suspending the sentence, as it may send the wrong message about the severity of the crime.

When do courts suspend a sentence?

- **Exception vs Rule** – In cases involving serious offences, the suspension of a sentence is an exception rather than the rule.
- **Short-term sentences** – The Supreme Court, in cases like *Bhagwan Rama Shinde Gosai vs. State of Gujarat* (1999), clarified that suspension of a sentence in appeal should be done liberally in cases involving short-term sentences.
- **Life imprisonment sentences** – However, in cases involving life imprisonment, such as Sengar's, suspension is rare and must be done after considering several factors.
- This factors includes:
    - **The nature and gravity of the offence** – The seriousness of the crime must be assessed.
    - **The manner of commission of the crime** – The brutality and intent behind the crime must be taken into account.
    - **The desirability of granting bail** – Whether releasing the convict on bail would be appropriate, especially considering the victim's safety and potential societal impact.
- The decision to suspend Sengar's sentence by the Delhi High Court was influenced primarily by his conviction under the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, which criminalizes "aggravated" sexual assault by a public servant.
- This decision sparked controversy due to the interpretation of the term "public servant."

What is the 'public servant' debate?

- **POCSO act** – One of the key issues in this case was whether Sengar's actions qualified as "aggravated sexual assault by a public servant" under Section 5(c) of the POCSO Act.
- **Narrow view of High Court** – The High Court took a narrow view and held that Sengar, an elected MLA, did not fall under the category of a "*public servant*" as defined under the Indian Penal Code (IPC), Section 21.
- The court noted that the IPC definition of a "*public servant*" *does not include elected legislators like MLAs.*
- **Controversial interpretation** – In practice, elected officials wield significant power and influence, often exercising authority over vulnerable populations.
    - The POCSO Act, designed to protect children from sexual exploitation, was meant to address the abuse of power by public servants, including police officers, teachers, and government officials.
    - The High Court's narrow interpretation excluded elected legislators, creating an anomaly.
- **Broader view of trial Court** – This decision diverged from the trial court's judgment, which had interpreted the term "public servant" more broadly, applying the definition under the Prevention of Corruption Act (PCA), 1988, *which includes anyone holding a public office.*
- The High Court's ruling raises important questions about how the law should be applied when it comes to sexual crimes committed by powerful individuals.

Can a life sentence be suspended based on 'prolonged incarceration'?

- **The situation of prolonged incarnation** – The High Court also relied on the fact that Sengar had already spent over seven years in prison.
- It cited the case *Kashmira Singh vs. State of Punjab* (1977), which held that prolonged incarceration without a final conviction could result in injustice.
- **Supreme Court's observation** – However, in cases of life imprisonment, the Supreme Court has consistently stated that mere prolonged incarceration cannot justify the suspension of a sentence.
- In the *Chhotelal Yadav vs. State of Jharkhand* (2025) case, the Supreme Court clarified that suspension of a sentence in life imprisonment cases should only occur if there is a palpable error in the trial court's judgment that could lead to acquittal on appeal.
- The High Court's reliance on Sengar's time served in prison could therefore be seen as insufficient grounds for suspension, given that his appeal was still pending and no clear error in the trial court's judgment had been established.
- **Concerns of Intimidation –** In addition to legal arguments, the survivor in this case raised serious concerns about her safety.
- She had previously been subjected to systemic intimidation, including the custodial death of her father and a truck-car accident in 2019 that critically injured her and her lawyer and killed her two aunts.
- Despite the Supreme Court granting her CRPF protection, the High Court ruled that the risk of further threats could not be the sole reason to deny Sengar's suspension of sentence.
- This stance has drawn criticism, as it highlights the extent to which survivors of sexual violence are often subjected to intimidation and violence while seeking justice.
- The survivor's fears were grounded in her traumatic experiences, yet the High Court's decision seemingly downplayed the gravity of these threats.
- **Important Questions raised** – The case raises several key questions about fairness, equality, and the interpretation of laws that aim to protect vulnerable populations.
    - Should MLAs be classified as public servants under POCSO?
    - What weight should be given to the period of incarceration when deciding to suspend a life sentence?
    - How can the justice system ensure the safety of survivors who have faced systemic intimidation and violence?

What lies ahead?

- While the Delhi High Court's legal interpretation may be technically sound, its narrow reading of the POCSO Act and the suspension of a life sentence in this case raises concerns about justice for survivors of sexual violence.
- This case underscores the need for a more expansive, victim-centric approach in interpreting laws designed to protect children and vulnerable individuals.
- The Supreme Court's stay on the High Court's decision is a timely reminder that legal technicalities must not override the larger principles of justice, especially in cases involving serious crimes like sexual assault.
- As the appeal progresses, it will be crucial to see how the law evolves to address the growing concerns surrounding the abuse of power, gendered violence, and the

protection of survivors in India’s criminal justice system.

Reference

The Hindu| Suspension of Sentence

