

## The Juvenile Justice Bill, 2015

\n\n

### What is the bill on?

\n\n

\n

- The Bill replaces the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000.

\n

- It addresses children in conflict with the law.

\n

- It also has provisions for children in need of care and protection.

\n

\n\n

### What are the key provisions?

\n\n

\n

- **Trial** - The three types of offences defined by the Bill are:\n\n

\n

- i. heinous offence - that attracts a minimum penalty of 7 years imprisonment under any existing law

\n

- ii. serious offence - that gets imprisonment between 3 to 7 years

\n

- iii. petty offence - penalized with up to 3 years imprisonment

\n

\n

\n

- Juveniles between the ages of 16-18 years will be tried as adults for heinous offences.

\n

- Any 16-18 year old, who commits a lesser, i.e., serious offence, may be tried as an adult.

\n

- But this is only if he/she is detained after the age of 21 years.

\n

- Juvenile Justice Boards (JJB) and Child Welfare Committees (CWC) will be constituted in each district.

\n

- **JJB** - The JJB will assess the child's mental and physical capacity, ability to understand consequences of the offence, etc.

\n

- This is to determine whether a juvenile offender is to be sent for rehabilitation or be tried as an adult.

\n

- Based on this assessment, a Children's Court will decide further.

\n

- **CWC** - The Bill addresses children in need of care and protection.

\n

- If an orphaned, abandoned or surrendered child is found, he/she is brought before a Child Welfare Committee within 24 hours.

\n

- A social investigation report is conducted for the child.

\n

- The Committee will decide to either send the child to a children's home or any other facility it deems fit.

\n

- **Adoption** - CWC can also declare the child to be free for adoption or foster care.

\n

- The Bill outlines the eligibility criteria for prospective parents.

\n

- It also details procedures for adoption, and introduces a provision for inter-country adoption.

\n

- **Besides**, penalties for the following have been prescribed:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. cruelty against a child

\n

- ii. offering a narcotic substance to a child

\n

- iii. abduction or selling a child

\n

\n\n

**What are the concerns?**

\n\n

\n

- **Deterrence** - There are differing views on whether juveniles should be tried as adults.

\n

- It may not act as a deterrent for juveniles committing heinous crimes.

\n

- On the other hand, a reformatory approach will reduce the likelihood of repeating offences.

\n

- **Constitution** - The provision of trying a juvenile as an adult based on date of apprehension could violate Article 14, Article 21.

\n

- It also counters the spirit of Article 20(1) by according a higher penalty for the same offence, if the person is apprehended after 21 years of age.

\n

- **UN provisions** - Under the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child every child under the age of 18 years should be treated as equal.

\n

- The provision of trying a juvenile as an adult thus contravenes the UN Convention.

\n

- **Discrepancy** - Some penalties provided in the Bill are not in proportion to the gravity of the offence.

\n

- E.g. the penalty for selling a child is lower than that for offering intoxicating or psychotropic substances to a child.

\n

- **Standing Committee** - The Standing Committee examining the Bill observed that it was based on misleading NCRB data.

\n

- This is because the data was based on FIRs and not actual convictions, regarding juvenile crimes.

\n

- It said that the approach towards juvenile offenders should be reformatory and rehabilitative.

\n

- It also observed that the Bill violates some constitutional provisions.

\n

- These have been addressed by deletion of the relevant clause, at the time of passing the Bill in Lok Sabha.

\n

\n\n

## What are the shortfalls with the earlier Act?

\n\n

\n

- The existing Juvenile Justice Act, 2000 was facing implementation issues and procedural delays with regard to adoption, etc.

\n

- The National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) data suggests an increase in crimes committed by juveniles.

\n

- This is particularly in reference with those in the 16-18 years age group.

\n

- The percentage of juvenile crimes (in proportion to total crimes) has increased from 1% in 2003 to 1.2% in 2013.

\n

- Notably, the 16-18 year olds accused of crimes as a percentage of all juveniles accused of crimes increased from 54% to 66%.

\n

- Under the 2000 Act, any child in conflict with law may spend a maximum of 3 years in institutional care (special home, etc.).

\n

- The child cannot be given any penalty higher than 3 years, nor be tried as an adult and be sent to an adult jail.

\n

- This is regardless of the type of offence committed.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

## Quick Fact

\n\n

## Juvenile

\n\n

\n

- In the Indian context, a juvenile or child is any person who is below the age of 18 years.

\n

- However, the Indian Penal Code specifies that a child cannot be charged for any crime until he/she has attained 7 years of age.

\n

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*