

# **Tackling a Rising China**

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- The recent Quadrilateral alliance and the consequent talk of an 'Asian NATO' have brought the India-China rivalry back to the limelight.  $\n$
- Balancing a rising China requires a great deal of India's strategic attention in the years ahead.

\n\n

### How prospective is China?

\n\n

\n

• China is becoming a global leader in terms of composite national strength and international influence.

\n

• Globally, there is certainly a power vacuum, left by Donald Trump's 'reluctant superpower.

∖n

- If China rightly utilises this power vacuum, its superpower ambitions are bound to have a system-shaping impact on the Asian region.  $\n$
- $\bullet$  There could possibly be China-led alliances, Chinese client states and the establishment of Chinese spheres of influence.  $\n$
- China would not fail to ensure that its access to overseas resources/markets and the oceanic trade routes are unhindered.  $\n$

\n\n

### What irritants does India face?

\n\n

\n

- China is thus involved in a grand strategy to anchor its supremacy and eliminate any U.S.-led coalition in the Asian region.  $\n$

\n\n

\n

- i. denying India entry into the Nuclear Suppliers Group  $\n$
- ii. repeatedly blocking UN sanctions against Pakistan-based terrorists  $\n$
- iii. ever-strengthening China-Pakistan military alliance  $\n$
- $\operatorname{iv.}$ ignoring India's sensitivity over the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor $_{\operatorname{n}}$
- v. revisionist claims in the land and oceanic space. E.g. revisionist statements on Sikkim and Arunachal Pradesh
- vi. increasing naval presence, including dispatching its nuclear submarines on patrol, in the Indian Ocean.
- vii. China, stepping up its political and economic footprint in the region  $\n$

\n\n

# Is India's current strategy effective?

\n\n

\n

- Power Currently, India is seemingly increasing its alliance with the US to deal with Beijing; most recently the Quad.
- Amidst Chinese grand strategy, India's US-centric strategy could turn to be a spoiler. This is because:  $\n$

\n\n

\n

- i. the US is a quickly-receding extra-regional power
  - \n
- ii. its long-term commitment to the Asian region is increasingly indeterminate and unsure  $$\n$
- iii. the reliability of other alliance states like Japan to take forward firmly a

strategic partnership with the U.S is highly uncertain

\n

 ${\rm iv.}\,$  US-China relations are far more complex than the general assumptions  $_{\n}$ 

\n\n

∖n

- Economy The second broad policy direction seems to be to compete with China for regional influence in South Asia.
- Clearly, trying to match the powerful yuan, backed by vigorous political support from Beijing, with our humble rupee is a losing battle.
- It is suggested that India should use its \$70 billion-strong trading relationship with China as a bargaining chip to check Chinese behaviour.  $\n$
- But doing so would hurt both sides, especially India, given the fact that India-China bilateral trade is heavily skewed in favour of China.  $\n$
- Boycotting Chinese goods would also mean Indian consumers paying more to get them from elsewhere.
- Military Military preparedness to offset any potential Chinese aggression is something that India can and should invest in.
- However, military preparedness, in which India is inevitably lagging behind China, alone cannot address the concerns.
  \n

\n\n

# What then should India's strategy be?

\n\n

\n

- One way is viewing China as part of the solution to the region's challenges.  $\slash n$
- A mutual 'complex interdependence' in economic, security and other domains should be strengthened by initiating structured consultations.  $\n$
- + E.g. the current India-China joint anti-piracy missions in the Gulf of Aden.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- India should certainly focus on the Indo-Pacific and consider cooperating with China, even while being part of the Quad.  $\n$

- India also needs to strategise a plan to nudge China towards playing a role so as to ensure a stable regional security order.  $\n$
- This is particularly in the context of its role in Pakistan, where China has to balance trade and terrorism.  $\n$
- Despite this strategy of mutual trust, the role of military strength in guaranteeing national security cannot be underestimated.  $\n$
- Thus, India would be better served by adopting a more nuanced strategy of 'smart-balancing' China. \n

\n\n

\n\n

#### Source: The Hindu

