

## Survey by Conservation Assured | Tiger Standards

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Conservation Assured | Tiger Standards (CA|TS) recently published a report titled 'Safe Havens for Wild Tigers'.

\n\n

### What is the report on?

\n\n

\n

- CA|TS report was on the results of a survey of site-based tiger conservation across Asia.

\n

- The survey assessed the current management methodologies at 112 sites located in 11 tiger-range countries, including India.

\n

- The survey is the first and largest rapid assessment of site-based tiger conservation across Asia.

\n

- It has been driven by 11 conservation organisations and tiger-range governments that are part of the CA|TS coalition.

\n

- The results show whether or not governments are investing sufficient funds into tiger conservation.

\n

- This study also shows the reality of the progress made under the *St Petersburg Declaration*.

\n

\n\n

### What are the key findings?

\n\n

\n

- Only 13% of the tiger conservation areas met the global standards of an accreditation system.

- \n
- Of the 112 global sites surveyed, only 12.5% was currently able to meet the full CA|TS criteria.
- \n
- Nearly half of the assessed sites reported fairly strong management, although improvements are needed.
- \n
- South Asian and East Asian countries like Bangladesh, Bhutan, China, India, Nepal and Russia had management plans.
- \n
- The remaining 35% did not have management plans and showed relatively weak management.
- \n
- Notably, a majority of these are in Southeast Asia, including Cambodia, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar and Thailand.
- \n
- Three of the 13 tiger range countries, Laos, Vietnam, and Cambodia have lost all their tigers.
- \n

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

- \n
- **Basic needs** remain weak for all tiger conservation areas surveyed.
- \n
- These include enforcement of laws against **poaching**, engaging local **communities** and managing **conflicts** between people and wildlife.
- \n
- **Staff capacity** to patrol the sites effectively and **anti-poaching** enforcement remain weak, despite the serious threat of poaching.
- \n
- Only 16 of 112 sites had intelligence-driven anti-poaching processes in place.
- \n
- Ineffective management of tiger conservation areas had led to the **extinction** of tigers from certain key areas.
- \n

\n\n

### What are the accredited sites?

\n\n

\n

- To date, only three sites have been awarded CA|TS Approved status.  
\n
- These are  
\n

\n\n

\n

i. **Lansdowne Forest Division in Uttarakhand, India**

\n

ii. Chitwan National Park in Nepal

\n

iii. Sikhote-Alin Nature Reserve in Russia

\n

\n\n

\n

- Lansdowne Forest Division, Uttarakhand, accredited in May 2017, is the third CA|TS accredited site globally and the first in India.  
\n
- It is significant since it is a crucial link between the Rajaji and Corbett tiger reserves.  
\n

\n\n



\n\n

## **What does it call for?**

\n\n

\n

- Low investment from governments in Southeast Asia was one of the reasons for the lack of management.  
\n
- Thus, long-term investment in tiger conservation areas is called for.  
\n
- This is absolutely essential to halt and reverse the decline of wild tigers.  
\n
- The tiger-range governments should thus take the lead responsibility.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line, Indian Express**

\n\n

\n\n

## **Quick Facts**

\n\n

### **Conservation Assured | Tiger Standards (CA|TS)**

\n\n

- \n• CA|TS is an important tool in the achievement of the Convention on Biological Diversity - CBD's Global Aichi Targets.
- \n• CA|TS is a management tool which sets basic criteria such as the minimum standards for effective management of tiger conservation reserves.
- \n• It also applies to other conservation reserves and protected areas which have tiger populations.
- \n• It contributes to the implementation of the Programme of Work on Protected Areas.
- \n• The CA|TS team has been working closely with the IUCN.

\n\n

### **St Petersburg Declaration**

\n\n

- \n• In November 2010, the first "Tiger Summit" in St Petersburg, Russia, endorsed a Global Tiger Recovery Programme.
- \n• The programme aimed at reversing the rapid decline of tigers, and doubling their numbers by 2022.
- \n• India was one of the 13 tiger range countries that participated in the gathering.
- \n• The leaders committed to drawing up action plans to -

\n

\n\n

\n

i. strengthen the tiger reserves

\n

ii. crack down on poachers

\n

iii. provide financial assistance to maintain a thriving tiger population

\n

\n\n

## **Tiger Range Countries**

\n\n

\n

- The Tiger Range Countries (TRC) are the countries where tigers still roam free i.e. in the wild.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The 13 tiger range countries are Bangladesh, Bhutan, Cambodia, China, India, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Russia, Thailand and Vietnam.

\n

- Sometimes North Korea is also included.

\n

- While there have been no recent tigers sightings in North-Korea, it is the only country listed which has not ratified CITES.

\n

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*