

Supreme Court's Verdict on SC/ST PoA Act

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Supreme Court of India issued directions to prevent the misuse of provisions of Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989 (SC/ST Act).

 $n\n$

What were the guidelines?

 $n\n$

\n

 The 1989 Act penalises casteist insults and even denies anticipatory bail to the suspected offenders.

۱n

- New guidelines were for police officers on how to ensure that innocent persons, especially public officials, are protected from false complaints.
- It said a Deputy Superintendent of Police should hold a preliminary inquiry into complaints to rule out their being false or motivated ones.
- The ruling also said public servants should not be arrested under the Act without the permission of their appointing authority.
- Other private citizens should not be arrested without the approval of the District Senior Superintendent of Police.

 $n\n$

What was the court's rationale?

 $n\$

\n

• The Bench said there was "acknowledged abuse" of the power to arrest under the Act.

\n

• The court said that the law is therefore used to rob a person of his personal

liberty merely on the unilateral word of the complainant.

• The court referred to how public administration has been threatened by the abuse of this Act.

\n

- It said that the public servants find it difficult to give adverse remarks against employees for fear that they may be charged under the Act.
- It also observed that no Parliament could allow arrest without a fair procedure and Article 21 has to be read into every provision of law.

 $n\n$

What are the implications?

 $n\n$

\n

 Article 338 clause 9 stipulates: The Union and every State Government shall consult National Commission for Scheduled Castes on all major policy matters affecting Scheduled Castes.

\n

- \bullet This has not been followed by any of the three organs of the government. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- In providing "an inbuilt provision" to protect those falsely accused under the Act, the judgment has ended up conveying a dangerous message that the Atrocities Act is "a charter for exploitation or oppression".
- The court appears to have mistaken a large number of acquittals in atrocities cases to be false cases.

\n

 But the general consensus is that police apathy, the social and the economic might of the accused and the dependence of SC/STs on those accused is the reason for those acquittals.

\n

- \bullet There is no precise data on the scale and extent to which the Act has been misused by SC/ST employees.
 - \n
- The task of balancing the rights of innocent persons facing false accusations and the need to accord legitimacy to the Atrocities Act requires compassion, reverence for the Constitution and awareness.

\n

