

# **Supreme Court Ruling on Firecrackers**

# Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The Supreme Court recently gave some guidelines in line with the sale, manufacture and use of firecrackers.

 $n\n$ 

# What are the guidelines?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The ban came on the basis of a petition filed by two infants through their fathers in 2015.

\n

- They said the air pollution caused by various factors, especially firecrackers, made Delhi a gas chamber and pleaded for their right to life.
- **Time** The Supreme Court restricted the use of fireworks during Deepavali and other festivals to an 8-10 pm window.
- For Christmas and New Year, the time slot allowed is half-an-hour, between 11.55 p.m. and half-past midnight.
- Manufacture The court banned crackers that are loud and toxic to man, animal and the environment.

\n

• It banned the manufacture, sale and use of joined firecrackers (series crackers or 'laris').

\n

\n

- $\bullet$  It held that they caused "huge air, noise and solid waste problems."  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- It allowed the manufacture and sale of only "green" and reduced-emission or "improved" crackers.

\n\n

\n

• Sale - The sale of green and improved crackers would be only through licensed traders.

۱n

• The court banned the online sale through e-commerce websites, including Flipkart and Amazon.

\n

• Any e-commerce company selling crackers online would amount to contempt of court.

\n

- **Community** The court urged the Central and State governments to permit "community" bursting of crackers during festivities in pre-designated areas.
- In the case of Delhi and the National Capital Region (NCR), the court made it mandatory.

\n

• It gave the Centre, the Delhi and other State governments, whose areas fall within the NCR, a week's time to identify these pre-designated areas.

\n

• It directed that the public should be informed about the designated places a week before Diwali.

۱'n

• **Violation** - Local Station House Officers would be held personally liable and hauled up for contempt by the court if there was any violation of the judgement.

\n

• This applies both to the time slots for bursting crackers and the sale of banned crackers.

\n

• **PESO** - The court banned the use of barium salts in fireworks.

\n

• It entrusted the Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organisation (PESO) in this regard.

۱n

- PESO will have to ensure that only fireworks with permitted chemicals are sold or purchased during festivities or celebrations.
- It should also test and check for the presence of banned chemicals like lithium/arsenic/antimony/lead/mercury.
- It has to ensure that only those crackers whose decibel (sound) levels were within the limits are allowed in the market.

\n

• PESO has been empowered to suspend the licences and appropriately dispose of stocks of manufacturers who violated the court's directions.

 $n\n$ 

# What is the court's rationale?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The court rejected arguments that bursting crackers was a fundamental right.

\n

• It also ruled it out as being an essential practice during religious festivals like Diwali.

\n

- It held that Article 25 (right to religion) is subject to Article 21 (right to life).
- So a religious practice that threatens the health and lives of people is not entitled to protection under Article 25.

\n

The ruling has thus struck a balance between two rights - \n

 $n\n$ 

\n

- i. right of the petitioners under Article 21 (right to public health)  $\$

 $n\n$ 

## What are "Green crackers"?

 $n\n$ 

۱'n

• "Green crackers" do not contain harmful chemicals that would cause air pollution.

\n

 $\bullet$  Components in firecrackers are replaced with others that are less dangerous and less harmful to the atmosphere.  $\mbox{\sc harmful}$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The idea was initially proposed by Science & Technology Minister Harsh Vardhan.

\n

- It was carried forward by a network of CSIR labs Central Electro Chemical Research Institute (CECRI), Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, National Botanical Research Institute, National Chemical Laboratory.
- $\bullet$  The team came up with 3-4 formulations and looked at 30-40% of active materials which reduce particulate matter.  $\$
- $\bullet$  CSIR-CECRI has developed flower pots by using "eco-friendly materials" that can potentially reduce particulate matter by 40%. \n
- CSIR-NEERI is testing the efficacy of bijli crackers by "eliminating the use of ash as desiccants".

\n

 Potential sound-emitting functional prototypes that do not emit sulphur dioxide were also developed.

۱n

- These crackers are named as Safe Water Releaser (SWAS), Safe Thermite Cracker (STAR) and Safe Minimal Aluminium (SAFAL).
- $\bullet$  These have the unique property of releasing water vapour and/or air as dust suppressant and diluent for gaseous emissions.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- $\bullet$  The Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organisation is testing and analysing these crackers for safety and stability.  $\$

 $n\$ 

#### What next?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

- An emissions testing facility has been established at CSIR-NEERI.
- This will test conventional and green crackers and monitor them for emissions and sound.
- $\bullet$  E-crackers or electric crackers are also being tested by a CSIR-CEERI team.
- However, feedback from manufacturers has not been encouraging as they feel it would sound like listening to a recording of firecrackers instead.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu, Indian Express** 

\n

