

Srikrishna Committee - White Paper on Data Protection Framework

Why in news?

\n\n

Srikrishna Committee recently released a white paper as part of its mandate to draft a data protection and privacy Bill.

\n\n

What is the need?

\n\n

\n

- The Committee was set up by the Ministry of Electronics and IT following the decision to make Aadhaar compulsory for many government services. \n
- Private entities are also increasingly using Aadhaar for the purpose of authentication and financial transactions.
- Notably, the Aadhaar is being issued by the UIDAI after collecting individual's personal and biometric data.
- Despite an obligation to adopt adequate security safeguards, no database is 100 per cent secure.
- Evidently, despite UIDAI's various in-built data protection mechanisms, it is not bound to inform an individual in cases of misuse or theft of his or her data.

∖n

- Thus, the interplay between any proposed data protection framework and the existing Aadhaar framework will have to be analysed. \n

\n\n

What are the highlights?

\n\n

\n

• The committee has identified seven key principles for the data protection law, which include:

\n

\n\n

∖n

- Technology agnosticism flexibility of the law for adapting to changing technologies and standards of compliance.
 - \n
- Holistic application governing both private sector entities and the government; differential obligations for certain legitimate state aims. \n

 \n
- 3. **Informed consent** informed and meaningful consent of the individual must be ensured by the law.
 - \n
- Data minimization Data that is processed ought to be minimal, only for targeted and other compatible purposes.
 \n
- Controller accountability The data controller shall be held accountable for any processing of data. \n
- 6. Structured enforcement There should be a high-powered statutory authority with sufficient capacity and decentralized mechanisms for enforcement of the data protection framework. \n
- 7. Deterrent penalties Penalties on wrongful processing of data must be adequate to ensure deterrence.
 \n

\n\n

∖n

- **SPDI** The white paper has laid down for the protection of sensitive personal data or information (SPDI) by which a person is identifiable.
- This essentially means that any social media site, search engine, telecom operator or government agency cannot sell or disclose SPDI of individuals. \n
- It has identified health and genetic information, religious beliefs and affiliation, sexual orientation, and racial and ethnic origin as SPDI. \n
- It has also placed caste and financial information in this category. $\slash n$
- The committee prescribes punishments in case of violations of regulations in

using SPDI.

∖n

- At present, the IT Act rules on security practices and sensitive personal data are applicable only to private or corporate entities. \n
- **Data Breaches** The law may require that individuals be notified of data breaches where there is a likelihood of privacy harms.
- However the paper noted that fixing too short a time period for individual notifications might be too onerous on smaller organisations.
- As, such an organisation may not have the necessary information about the breach and its likely consequences.
- Thus it is suggested that both government and the private entities be brought under the ambit of the proposed law. \n
- **Exemptions** The Committee has made certain exemptions in relation to collecting information.

\n

- This is in reference to investigating a crime, apprehension or prosecution of offenders, and maintaining national security and public order. \n
- But, the committee also insists on devising an effective review mechanism. $\slash n$
- Penalty A civil penalty of a specific amount may be imposed on the data controller for each day of violation.
- **Besides**, it suggested setting up a data protection authority, data audit, registration of data collectors, enacting provisions for protecting children's personal data, etc.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard, LiveLaw

