

## **Special Courts For Trying Politicians - II**

Click here for Part I

 $n\n$ 

## What is the need?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Criminalisation of politics is an issue that worries the country's administration for long.

\n

- Apart from the political parties, it is also a concern for independent institutions such as the Supreme Court and the Election Commission.
- The political class manages to escape the serious criminal cases because of a delayed and repeatedly postponed trial.
- Resultantly, many influential politicians facing criminal proceedings continue to engage in contesting elections or holding public office.
- Certainly, the <u>criminal tendencies of these politicians get carried on to bureaucracy</u> and the police and more importantly into <u>law making.</u>
- It consequently <u>affects every aspect of public governance</u> from tenders and contracts, safety of buildings and roads to postings and transfers, education and healthcare.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What are the challenges and possible solutions?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Shortage of judges Bringing in place a number of special courts would create the demand for judges.
- Shortage of judges can be overcome by <u>reappointment of retired High Court Judges</u> as ad hoc judges, as provided under Article 224A.

\n

- **Prosecutors** Appointing <u>prosecutors who are not attached to any political party</u> is another challenge in the working of the special courts.
- A <u>directorate of prosecution</u> headed by a retired senior judge could be created.

\n

- This directorate can <u>choose prosecutors</u> who in turn could be inspected by the Chief Justice of the High Court.
- **Delay** Another threat is that the main trial could be obstructed by <u>interim</u> orders.

\n

- Political leaders finding legal counsel and filing multifarious interim applications could delay the process, defeating the whole purpose.
- This could be addressed and avoided if there is a provision in place for the <u>Chief Justices to supervise and monitor the trial process</u>.
- **Equality** There is an argument that creating special courts would breach the law of equality.

\n

• This is not wholly justifiable because, <u>Art 14 permits classification</u> based on criteria and nexus.

\n

• Clearly, MPs and MLAs form a distinct class and their <u>early trial is a democratic must</u>.

\n

- They thus deserve to be given <u>priority treatment</u> similar to their special privileges in many other instances and aspects.
- **Besides** all these, the concern with ensuring <u>availability of funds</u>, especially from the States has to be addressed by a <u>central scheme</u>.  $\n$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

