

Social Security and Criminal Laws

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Delhi High Court has recently struck down some provisions of the Bombay Prevention of Begging Act. Click here to know more \n
- \bullet It is imperative, in this context, to understand the colonial perceptions behind the coming in of such laws. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\$

What was the colonial era approach?

 $n\n$

\n

- In 1871, the colonial regime passed the Criminal Tribes Act.
- This was based upon the racist British belief that many groups and communities are being criminal by birth, nature, and occupation.
- The Act unleashed a reign of terror, with systems of surveillance, police reporting, separation of families, detention camps, and forced labour.
- More than six decades after independence, India repealed the Act.
- But sadly, the "denotified tribes" continue to suffer from stigma and systemic disadvantage.

 $n\n$

What is the rationale behind?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Criminal Tribes Act is one among a range of colonial laws that dehumanised communities.

\n

• The colonial administrators were particularly concerned about nomadic communities.

\n

- It's because, by virtue of their movements and lifestyle, it was difficult to track, surveil, control, and tax them.
- So the Criminal Tribes Act and other such laws attempted to destroy these patterns of life.

\n

• They were used to coerce these communities into settlements and subject them to forced labour.

\n

 $n\n$

What happened after independence?

 $n\n$

\n

- Constitution did promise liberty, equality, fraternity, and dignity to all.
- However, independent India's rulers continued to replicate colonial logic in framing laws for the new republic.

\n

• Individuals were continued to be treated as subjects to be controlled, rather than rights-bearing citizens.

\n

• The Bombay Prevention of Begging Act comes as an extension of this approach.

\n

 $n\$

What are the larger concerns with the Act?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• The Act has continued to exist in as many as 20 States and two Union Territories.

\n

- The definition refers to "singing, dancing, fortune telling, performing or offering any article for sale" for receiving alms.
- \bullet The vague definitions give unchecked power to the police to harass citizens. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

- The Begging Act was used just before the 2010 Commonwealth Games.
- The Delhi government took measures to take beggars off the street as their presence would embarrass the nation before foreigners.
- Such operations are also a regular part of preparing for national events, such as Independence Day and Republic Day.
- It seems to be targeting groups and communities whose nomadic patterns of life do not fit within mainstream stereotypes.
- It reflects the desire to erase public spaces of people who look or act differently.
- \bullet It conveys that the constitutional guarantees of pluralism and inclusiveness are not available to these people. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\$

What is the significance of HC ruling?

 $n\n$

\n

\n

\n

\n

- The Delhi High Court's judgment marks a crucial step forward in dismantling a vicious legacy of colonialism.
- It comes as a recognition of the fact that our Constitution is a transformative one.
- \bullet It seeks to undo legacies of injustice and lift up all individuals and communities to the plane of equal citizenship. \n

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\$

\n

- A court can strike down an unconstitutional law, but it cannot reform society.
- \bullet Poverty is a systemic and structural problem of the society. $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- So it is the task of the legislatures and the government to replace contentious laws as the Begging Act.

\n

 \bullet Measures focusing on rehabilitation and integration of the most vulnerable and marginalised members should be the way forward. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

