

## **SEBI's Circular on FPIs**

#### What is the Issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• A recent circular by Securities and Exchange Board of India gave registered Foreign Portfolio Investors (FPIs) a deadline to provide a list of their beneficial owners.

\n

• It also said to close the structures where Non-Resident Indians, persons of Indian origin (PIOs) or Overseas Citizens of India (OCI), are the majority share holders.

\n

• It is a follow-up on an April directive which sought KYC details from foreign investors.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What does the circular says?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- NRIs have been barred from registering as FPIs investing in India right from the time SEBI revamped its Foreign Portfolio Investors Regulations in 2014.
- The main intent behind this rule is to curb round-tripping and laundering of unaccounted money through the FPI route.
- $\bullet$  The April circular did direct all FPIs to identify their beneficial owners.  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- It was based on the criteria of 25 per cent ownership interest for companies, 15 per cent for other entities and 10 per cent for 'high-risk jurisdictions'.
- It also clarified that companies that were majority-owned by NRIs or PIOs were not allowed to invest through the FPI route in India and must close such structures.

\n

#### What are the concerns with this circular?

 $n\$ 

\n

• The move was to characterize fund managers as 'beneficial owners' of FPIs where their actual owners aren't identifiable.

۱n

• A beneficial owner is a person who enjoys the benefits of ownership even though title to some form of property is in another name.

\n

• However most offshore funds investing in India hire locals or persons of Indian origin to oversee their portfolios.

۱n

 Not only is a disclosure-based regime sufficient to track down cases of roundtripping.

\n

 $\bullet$  SEBI can perhaps rethink the more drastic provisions of this regulation.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## What are the reactions of FPIs in this regard?

 $n\$ 

۱n

• AMRI, a lobby group for FPIs, termed it as vague, opaque and even discriminatory.

\n

 $\bullet$  It has also warned that \$75 billion of FPI investments in India could flow out if SEBI implements the circular.

\n

 $n\n$ 

# How does it affect the Indian Economy?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- This controversy broke out at a worse time for the economy.
- Rupee value depreciation, tightening global liquidity and increasing oil prices are the various challenges faced by Indian Economy at this time.
- So, India badly needs foreign fund flows to bridge its trade deficit.

#### What is the way forward?

 $n\$ 

\n

• Regulators need to engage in a public consultation process about this decision.

\n

- ullet It should also clearly say their reasons behind such decisions.
- $\bullet$  It becomes inevitable when they attempt a substantial overhaul of their ground-rules for the Indian markets.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\$ 

 $n\$ 

## **Source: Business Line**

\n

