

SC's Stay on NCLT order

Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court stayed a National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) order directing the government to take over the real estate firm Unitech.

\n\n

What is the case?

\n\n

\n

- Unitech failed to deliver homes to thousands who booked apartments and has over 16,000 undelivered units from nearly 70 projects.
- It has over Rs.6,000 crore debt and is yet to commence building flats for an estimated 19,000 homebuyers.
- The company has so far only paid a fraction of the money to customers who don't want to take possession of flats.
- The Supreme Court had earlier directed Unitech to fast-track delivery of flats or repay the money of homebuyers in its projects.
- The NCLT's order had come as a response to a plea of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs arguing that Unitech was a fit case for winding up.
- The NCLT had asked the existing directors not to function, and also not alienate their personal or company properties.
- The tribunal also permitted the government to nominate 10 directors to the Unitech board.
- However, the government was considering the interest of thousands of home buyers and small depositors; it wanted to take over the company management.
- Meanwhile, Unitech appealed against the tribunal's order in the Supreme

Court.

\n

- The government's move to take over the company without the court's permission has thus received condemnation.
- The Centre apologised for approaching the NCLT over a matter that was being dealt with by the top court.
- The Court has however stayed the NCLT order.

\n

\n\n

What is the significance?

\n\n

\n

- This is a sign that the government is serious about taking errant real estate firms to task.
- In earlier similar cases, the court has been unable to get the promoters to pay the original amount and may just get away by paying a fraction.
- Given the magnitude of the problem, especially the fact that banks are unable to recover loans of huge sums from builders, it is important that the government step in.
- However, the company claimed that the government move had set the clock back on the company's plans to deliver on its pending flats.

\n

\n\n

What is the way out?

\n\n

\n

- The government must be empowered to address errant builders, in quite the same manner it has on other corporate defaulters.
- Home-buyers are demanding a status on a par with that of financial lenders.
- The Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs has also suggested to the Ministry of Corporate Affairs that home-buyers be recognised not merely as financial creditors, but as primary secured creditors.

\n

- The Housing Ministry wants the IBC amended such that, in the event of the assets of a company being liquidated, home-buyers would have the first right.
\n
- However, caution has to be taken that other creditors are also not encouraged to demand a similar status.
\n
- It is thus better the government takes charge of the assets.
\n
- And comes up with a solution so as to take care of the interests of both the banks and the home-buyers.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Quick Fact

\n\n

Government & NCLT

\n\n

- Under the Companies Act, 2013, the central government may itself apply to the NCLT for an order.
\n
- This is provided the central government is of the opinion that the affairs of the company are being conducted in a manner prejudicial to public interest.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Financial Express, Economic Times

\n