

## SC Judges Appointment - Concerns

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The government recently sent back the SC collegium's recommendation on judges appointment.

\n

- It has raised concerns on the propriety of the Centre to hold back names from the collegium's list.

\n

\n\n

### What is the ongoing tussle?

\n\n

\n

- The SC collegium recommended a list of names to be elevated as Judges of SC.

\n

- Justice K.M. Joseph and senior advocate Indu Malhotra were recommended together.

\n

- Justice K M Joseph is currently the Chief Justice of Uttarakhand High Court.

\n

- The government however approved only Indu Malhotra's name.

\n

- It however returned Justice K.M. Joseph's name for reconsideration.

\n

- This too was done more than 3 months after the Collegium had approved them.

\n

- The collegium recently decided to reiterate its recommendation to elevate Justice K M Joseph as SC judge.

\n

- **When reiterated** unanimously, **the Centre is bound to act** on the collegium resolution.

\n

- This is as per the law laid down by the SC in the Third Judges Case of 1998.

\n

\n\n

## What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- **Power** - The government's decision to send back the collegium's recommendation was unprecedented.

\n

- It is suspected to have been influenced by some political considerations. Click [here](#) to know more.

\n

- The executive power of the Centre holding back names from the collegium's list needs a reassessment.

\n

- **Seniority** - The Centre has selectively approved some names from a batch of recommendations.

\n

- It could make a difference to the seniority of the judges concerned.

\n

- Notably, seniority is the sole consideration for appointment of the Chief Justice as well as membership of the collegium.

\n

- **Delay** - Justice K M Joseph's name would only be part of the collegium's next set of recommendations.

\n

- This would include proposals to elevate the Chief Justices of some more high courts.

\n

- The collegium seems to address the Centre's concern on fair representation to all high courts.

\n

- However, it remains a concern why collegium did not send its reiteration to the Centre immediately.

\n

\n\n

## What lies ahead?

\n\n

\n

- The unanimous reiteration of the collegium may end the current controversy.

\n

- However, the larger issue of differences between the judiciary and the executive needs attention.  
\n
- In a judge-recommended system of appointments differences over particular candidates cannot be avoided.  
\n
- But it should be possible for the two sides to minimise these differences and act expeditiously.  
\n
- The onus is more on the government of the day.  
\n
- It should resist from blocking the appointment of anyone whom the judges themselves have found fit and deserving.  
\n
- The present consultative process in appointments should not be hampered by executive stubbornness.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu, Indian Express**

\n

