Rupee depreciation – Comparative analysis

What is the issue?

\n\n

The rupee’s relentless slide in recent weeks has led to comparisons with the situation that prevailed in 2013.

\n\n

What was the 2013 episode?

\n\n

\n
- Indian economy was facing a downfall, where it witnessed a record low of rupee value of 68.80.
\n
- Oil prices had sky-rocketed to $140/barrel (it is less than $ 90 today).
\n
- India imported 80% of the oil used in the country, and with the depreciated rupee, the subsidy burden of importing crude oil loomed higher.
\n
- The pressure on Indian rupee and on import bill of crude oil was interdependent.
\n
- The price rise was also caused by the US Fed’s ‘taper tantrum’, which signalled the winding up of quantitative easing (QE) and the hardening of policy rates.
\n
- Quantitative easing was followed by US, post 2008 financial crisis, to increase money supply in the economy in order to further increase lending by commercial banks and spending by consumers.
\n
- During 2013, US followed Tapering, which is a system of slowly reducing the amount of money the Fed puts into the economy, which gradually reduces the economy's reliance on that money.
\n
- This created an adverse impact on FPI investments, wherein there was a reversal of existing stocks as well as a slowdown in fresh flows as less liquidity was available.

\n
- Hardening of policy rates in the US also attracted portfolio investments from emerging markets.
\n
- There were also severe demand-supply mismatches of oil at the global level.
\n
- The situation in India became worse and was very well compared with the historical economic crisis of 1991.
\n

\n\n

How does India stand today?

\n\n

\n
- The recent depreciation of rupee is getting compared with the scenario that was prevailed in 2013 and also call for similar solutions.
\n
- But the Indian situation is actually quite different in 2018.
\n
- The position in 2018 is far more comfortable in almost all the parameters except foreign portfolio investment (FPI).
\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n
- FPI flows have been negative in both the episodes, and this has got to do more with the Federal Reserve driving such actions.
\n
- The continuous increase in interest rates by the Federal Reserve is the main reason for the FPI flows to turn negative now.
\n
- So the RBI was expected to increase rates this time to even out the interest rate differential between the two regimes.
\n
- The other parameters like the CAD, import cover, FDI and other indices look healthier than before which gives a great deal of confidence to the policy makers.
\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n
- Oil factor - It remains to be seen whether India will be able to strike a deal with Iran within the US overall framework of sanctions.
\n
- This combined with the OPEC reaction to lesser oil from Iran will determine the direction of oil prices.
\n
- Also, if US is not able to supplement supplies with its own shale reserves to matchup oil supply, then the price could go up further.
\n
- The US-China trade war will continue to keep the dollar stronger and make the rupee volatile.
\n
- Import tariffs - Import tariffs on several goods have been increased to lower the growth in imports.
\n
- But since the quota system became tighter after the WTO was set up, there aren’t too many other steps that can be taken to improve the CAD of India.
\n
- Forex reserves - Currently with forex reserves at around $400 billion, import cover is comfortable.
\n
- But the decline in reserves of around $25 billion this year can be attributed mainly to the RBI selling dollars of around $15-16 billion to stem the rupee’s fall.
\n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

\n
- RBI recently allowed forex borrowings for working capital by oil marketing companies(OMC) under the automatic route with immediate effect.
\n
- All the state-run OMCs will now be able to raise external commercial borrowings (ECBs) for working capital purposes with a minimum average maturity of three to five years from all recognised lenders under "the automatic route".
\n
- Under the liberalised norms, individual state-run refiners are allowed to

borrow up to USD 750 million, while the overall borrowings are capped at USD 10 billion.
\n

- In addition to the liberalised norms for oil companies, a sovereign bond or NRI bond could also be made to increase foreign currency inflows.
\n
- But such bonds are expensive and are repayable and hence the future risk also needs to be weighed appropriately.
\n
- India has no control over the extraneous factors like trade wars, Federal Reserve actions and the rising prices of oil.
\n
- But the RBI needs to hold control over the fundamentals within our economy that are driving the rupee further.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line

\n

