

Rulebook for the 2015 Paris Agreement - Katowice Climate Meet

Why in news?

\n\n

Negotiators from 196 countries finalised a rulebook for the 2015 Paris Agreement at the climate change conference in Katowice, Poland.

\n\n

What is the rulebook for?

\n\n

\n

- The Paris Agreement seeks to keep the global average temperatures “well below” 2°C from pre-industrial times.

\n

- It specifies the steps that countries need to take in the fight against climate change.

\n

- The rulebook prescribes how to do those things, and how each of them would be measured and verified.

\n

- It holds the operational details of the Paris Agreement, the processes and guidelines for its implementation.

\n

- Notably, the rulebook is a dynamic document, as new rules can be added or existing rules amended.

\n

- It would facilitate the implementation of Paris Agreement which is supposed to replace the existing Kyoto Protocol in 2020.

\n

- Nevertheless, several countries and NGOs feels that the deal reached in Katowice, though welcome, was not enough.

\n

\n\n

What are the highlights?

\n\n

\n

- The Paris Agreement says every country must have a **climate action plan** to be periodically updated and submitted to the UN climate body.

\n

- The rulebook now specifies what actions can be included in the action plan, how and when to submit them.

\n

- Further, the Paris Agreement asks every member nation to submit information about their **greenhouse gas emissions** every two years.

\n

- The rulebook now specifies

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. which gases to measure

\n

- ii. what methodologies and standards to apply while measuring them

\n

- iii. the kinds of information to be included in their submissions

\n

\n\n

\n

- Article 4 of Paris Agreement mandates **nationally determined contributions** (NDCs) by countries.

\n

- The rules now say that support shall be provided to developing country Parties for the implementation of Article 4.

\n

- Parties shall provide the information necessary for clarity, transparency and understanding as applicable to their NDCs.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The Paris Agreement demands developed countries to provide “**climate finance**” to developing countries and submit an account of this.

\n

- The rulebook says what kinds of financial flows - loans, concessions, grants - can be classified as climate finance.

\n

- It specifies how they should be accounted for and the kind of information about them needed to be submitted.

\n

\n\n

What were the contentious issues?

\n\n

\n

- **Carbon Credits** - An emissions trading system already exists under the Kyoto Protocol.

\n

- But it has become ineffective over the last few years and is meant to end with the end of Kyoto Protocol in 2020.

\n

- So Article 6 of the Paris Agreement talks about setting up a market mechanism for trading of carbon emissions.

\n

- A carbon market allows countries, or industries, to earn carbon credits for the emission reductions they make in excess of what is required of them.

\n

- These carbon credits can be traded to the highest bidder in exchange of money.

\n

- The buyers of carbon credits can show the emission reductions as their own and use them to meet their own reduction targets.

\n

- In the last few years, several countries walked out of the Kyoto Protocol, and no country was feeling compelled to meet its 2020 emission reduction targets.

\n

- So there has been virtually no demand for carbon credits.

\n

- As a result, developing countries like China, India and Brazil have accumulated huge amounts of unused carbon credits.

\n

- Together, China and Brazil are estimated to account for about 70% of global unused carbon credits.

\n

- In Katowice, these countries called for considering as valid their unused carbon credits in the new market mechanism that was being created.

\n

- But the developed countries strongly opposed this, questioning the authenticity of the unused carbon credits.

\n

- They pointed to the weak verification mechanisms of the Kyoto Protocol that

allowed dubious projects to claim carbon credits.

\n

- So failing to arrive at an agreement, the discussion over carbon markets was deferred to the next year.

\n

- But the confrontation would re-emerge as countries seem to attach more importance to the new emission trading system.

\n

- **IPCC Report** - The [report](#) highlighted the need to slash carbon pollution by nearly half before 2030 in order to hit the 1.5°C target.

\n

- The countries were divided on the IPCC report; US, Saudi Arabia, Russia and Kuwait refused to “welcome” the report.

\n

- So the Katowice meet welcomed “the timely conclusion” of the report and invited “parties to make use of it”.

\n

- But it did not accede to the demand for IPCC's (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) findings to form a key part of future planning.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

