

RTI and Judiciary

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Delhi High Court recently held that RTI Act could not be resorted to in case the information sought for is related to judicial function of the Supreme Court.

 $n\n$

What is the case?

 $n\n$

\n

• The court's order came on a plea by the Supreme Court of India, through its Registrar.

\n

• It had challenged an earlier order of the Central Information Commission (CIC).

\n

- The CIC order had directed the apex court to answer the queries of a litigant as to why his SLP (Special Leave Petition) was dismissed.
 - \n
- The SLP was regarding the termination of his services as a teacher, the challenge for which in the Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) was dismissed.

۱n

- His petition in the high court and appeal in the apex court also failed, and the review petitions were also dismissed.
 - \n
- Thereafter, he sought information under the RTI as to why his SLP was dismissed.

\n

• And contended that the same had been decided against the principles of natural justice.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the High Court's rationale?

\n

• Right To Information (RTI) Act would not override the *Supreme Court Rules* (SCR), when it comes to dissemination of information.

\r

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

\n

• Court emphasized that the judicial functioning of the supreme court of India is separate/ independent from its administrative functioning.

\n

 \bullet Consequently, for administrative functioning of the Supreme Court, information can be provided under the \underline{RTI} Act.

\n

• And for <u>judicial functioning</u> of the Supreme Court, the <u>Supreme Court Rules</u> is the mechanism.

۱n

• It includes right of inspection, search of copies and would be applicable for access to the documents filed on the judicial side.

\n

• The court denied the arguments that there was an inherent inconsistency between SCR and RTI Act.

\n

• The high court further said that a Judge speaks only through the judgments or orders passed.

\n

• And cannot be expected to give reasons other than those that have been enumerated in the judgment or order.

\n

• If any party feels aggrieved by the judgment passed, the remedy available is to challenge the same by a legally permissible mode.

\n

• It stressed that the legislature could not make law to deprive the courts of their legitimate judicial functions conferred under the procedure established by law.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the defects with SCR?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Supreme Court Rules are not as effective a mechanism to access information as the RTI.

\n

• Unlike the RTI Act, the <u>SCR do not provide for:</u> \n

 $n\n$

\n

i. a time frame for furnishing information

\n

ii. an appeal mechanism

۱r

 $\scriptstyle{\mbox{iii.}}$ penalties for delays or wrongful refusal of information

 $n\n$

\n

• The Rules also make disclosures to citizens <u>dependent upon "good cause</u> shown".

\n

- In sum, the Rules allowed the <u>Registry</u> to provide information at its unquestionable <u>discretion</u>, violating the text and spirit of the RTI.
- It is thus argued that the Supreme Court Rules are inconsistent with the RTI Act.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the implications of the ruling?

 $n\n$

\n

• The whole issue is that the Supreme Court Registry wants to provide information at its absolute discretion.

\n

• The high court ruling signifies the continuing trend of disregard for the RTI by the judiciary.

۱n

• The judgment thus seems to be strengthening a culture of opacity in the higher judiciary.

\n

 $n\n$

Quick Fact

 $n\n$

Supreme Court Rules

 $n\n$

\n

• Supreme Court Rules (SCR), 1966 have been framed under Article 145 of the Constitution of India.

\n

• They provide for regulating the practice and procedure of the Court, and the rules have the effect of law.

۱n

• SCR provide for a mechanism for inspection and search of pleadings on payment of prescribed fees.

\n

• The rules were re-issued with minor changes in 2014.

\n

 $n\n$

Good cause

 $n\$

\n

• Good cause is defined in the legal sense as a sufficient reason for a judge to make a ruling.

\n

• It denotes adequate or substantial grounds or reason to take a certain action, or to fail to take an action prescribed by law.

۱n

 \bullet The term "good cause," however, is a broad one, and what constitutes a good cause is usually determined on a case-by-case basis and is thus relative. \n

\n\n

 $n\$

Source: The Hindu, Live Law

\n

