

## Role of CAG

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Corruption Perception Index released by Transparency International ranks India at a lowly 79 out of 176 countries.

\n

- As much of this corruption is linked to public funds, it calls for a relook at the role of the CAG (Comptroller and Auditor General).

\n

\n\n

### What is the reality?

\n\n

\n

- India spends about 30% of its GDP on public procurement and hence the quantum of corruption associated with this is proportionately high.

\n

- Estimates reveal that 20-30% of the investments in public construction projects are lost to corruption.

\n

- In addition, the huge sum of leakages in government revenues worsens the financial picture.

\n

- The office of CAG was created as an independent status and authority to work against these financial misconducts in public office.

\n

- However, CAG is seen to be functioning short of its objective.

\n

\n\n

### What are the drawbacks in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- CAG, over the years, has functioned as a routine auditor and has failed to

proactively expand its role to meet the emerging challenges.

\n

- **Control** - Though CAG reports are discussed by the public accounts committees of the respective legislatures, no one evaluates or questions what the CAG does.
- As a result, its functions are largely confined to itself and is not known to meet with experts, professionals or institutions.
- There is no Constitutional authority, including the judiciary, which is so secluded and unapproachable as the CAG is.
- **Precedence** - It does not disseminate its policies or practices for the benefit of public servants and the general public.
- The audit reports of CAG are short of issuing any guidelines, best practices or advisories that would restrict malpractices and set a precedent.
- **Post-Mortem** - CAG could well be called the “Post-Mortem Authority of India as it looks primarily at what is demised.
- Carrying on concurrent audit which is legally permissible could be a preventive and curative measure to at least restrict financial ineffectiveness.
- Scams have been occurring with increasing frequency, but the CAG is able to expose only a few and that too with minimal consequences.
- Ex: There are enormous cost overruns in public projects due to poor contract design coupled with corrupt practices.
- **Limitation** - The growing interventions of the CAG, CBI, CVC and the unpredictability with their actions, at times result in bureaucrats preferring to avoid decision-making.
- CAG reports in recent years seem to be going into sensationalism. Ex: the excessive numbers, of anticipated loss, put out by the CAG in the telecom spectrum and coal mine scams.
- This seems to be distracting the CAG from an objective and judicious examination of matters with the requisite expertise and diligence.

\n

\n\n

**What is desired?**

\n\n

\n

- When the government is taking initiatives to curb corruption, black money, etc it is essential that public institutions like the CAG function for its purpose.
- A reassessment of the role and responsibilities of the CAG towards this end is the need of the hour for India to fare better in global transparency indicators.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

