

# **Revolt in the Higher Judiciary**

### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- Recently, in an unprecedented move, the four senior-most judges of the Supreme Court openly revolt against the Chief Justice of India (CJI).  $\n$
- It is important that the judges of the Supreme Court should sort out their differences internally and amicably.

\n\n

# Why did they revolt?

\n\n

\n

• The allegation was that the CJI has been assigning important cases, selectively to Benches of his preference, in contravention of the established convention.

\n

- In justification of their otherwise objectionable conduct of making public allegations, the senior judges claimed to have no other option.  $\n$
- They claimed that democracy is in peril, and stressed for radical steps for preserving the judicial institution.  $\n$
- They even stated that the impeachment of the CJI is for the nation to decide.  $\slash n$
- This incident has sent shock waves across the country in general and in the legal circles in particular, and has got a mixed response for all quarters.  $\n$

\n\n

### How has the reactions been?

\n\n

\n

- While most senior jurists strongly condemned the act, there were some supportive voices too, who stressed on the need to focus on the issues raised.  $\n$
- The action of the four judges has caused serious damage to the credibility of the institution, and has set a bad precedent of going public with grievances.  $\n$
- Also, this has given an opportunity for politicians to assertively muddle in the functioning of the judiciary with loose statements.  $\n$
- Notably, the CJI, PM and the government have been maintaining silence on the developments to prevent escalation, which is commendable.  $\n$
- The Bar Council of India and the Attorney General of India have urged for an amicable solution by the judges, which spells optimism for the future.  $\n$

\n\n

# What is the way forward?

\n\n

∖n

- The CJI also cannot absolve himself of responsibility from the current fiasco.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- While he is mandated to lead the judiciary, it does not mean that he is empowered to act arbitrarily in exercising his powers.  $\n$
- The CJI is the first among equals and he is the captain who has to carry the whole team with him, while enjoying their goodwill and support.  $\n$
- The judges now have to sit together and arrive at a consensus and present a united forum for the public to see.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

