

Reviving the Fertiliser Industry

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- The centre aims at building a vibrant home-grown fertiliser industry.
- \bullet However, the government approach seems to be lacking attention on the structural and pricing issues rampant in the fertiliser sector. \n

 $n\n$

What are the recent measures?

 $n\n$

\n

• Plans are on to pump over Rs 30,000 crore into reviving five of eight sluggish urea-making PSUs.

\n

- \bullet Also, the government has decided to write-off around Rs 20,000 crore. $\ensuremath{^{\text{Nn}}}$
- This relates to government loans and interest accrued to three public sector fertiliser companies.

\n

 \bullet The Centre, in all, relies on ramping up the presence of the PSUs in the fertiliser sector to ensure self-sufficiency in fertilisers. \n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns in the sector?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Production** India's consumption of both nitrogenous and phosphatic fertilisers grew at a moderate pace in recent years.
- However, the domestic production, especially in the private sector, has weakened.

\n

• Less competitive - Indian players have less natural competitive advantage as against the global players.

۱n

• This is largely because of the regressive policies of the government, which is resulting in lack of material competitive edge.

\n

• **Cost** - Relying on imports for most of the feedstock for the industry results in accelerated production cost.

\n

• However, selling prices for both urea and 'decontrolled' fertilisers are fixed way below the production costs.

\n

• **Subsidy** - Low prices are due to the fact that pricing policy for urea continues to remain highly subsidised.

\n

• Further, the unrealistic norms result in inordinate delays in subsidy reimbursement to the industry.

\n

• **Doing business** - Some of the efficient private players who have made investments in the sector had exited, due to poor profit margin.

\n

• In addition, draconian Government controls on operations hamper the smooth functioning of the industry.

۱n

• Besides, sales are subject to overt regulation, working against the ease of doing business principle.

\n

 $n\n$

Is PSUs revival the right option?

 $n\n$

\n

• The PSUs have traditionally struggled with high production costs and inefficiencies in the fertiliser industry.

\n

• Given this, the decision to enhance State presence in the sector is less likely to bear fruit.

\n

Besides, this could prove expensive for the State's fiscal.

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- The inherent concerns in the sector have to be addressed with utmost priority through some deep-rooted structural reforms.
- \bullet Enhanced participation of private and foreign players should be facilitated with lighter regulatory structures. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- This is critical for Indian farmers to gain access to latest global advances in nutrients and delivery.
- Further, public-sector disinvestment programme should be routed into the fertilisers sector as well.
- \bullet Importantly, market-linking of fertiliser prices would go a long way in rationalising the prices for both producers as well as consumers. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Line

\n

