

Restrictions on Pakistan

Why in news?

\n\n

US Congress proposed restrictions on Pakistan for its role in fuelling terror in Afghanistan.

\n\n

What is the need for such restrictions?

\n\n

\n

- Country Report of Terrorism, 2016 has noted that Pakistan has failed to take action against the Afghan Taliban and Haqqani Network. \n
- These networks continue to operate from "Pakistan based safe havens". $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- Pakistan government did not take any significant action against the Lashkar-e-toiba and Jaish-e-mohamadi who are responsible for terror activities in India.

\n

• The US is now proposing closer oversight of how US funds for Afghanistan are utilised by the Afghan government.

\n

\n\n

What are the decisions of US over Pakistan?

\n\n

\n

- Sanctions The US legislation proposes imposing graduated diplomatic, military and economic costs on Pakistan as long as it continues to provide support and sanctuary to terrorist links.
- Assistance It plans for assistance to Pakistan for terminating support for all terrorist and insurgent groups.

∖n

 Diplomatic ties - US calls for working through flexible frameworks for regional dialogue, together with Afghanistan, Pakistan, China, India, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and other nations. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Line

