

Restoration of SC/ST (PoA) Act Provisions

Why in news?

 $n\n$

\n

- Centre has decided to restore the original provisions of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.
- It comes in response to an earlier verdict of Supreme Court on the Act.

 $n\n$

What was the court's verdict?

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet The Supreme Court in a recent verdict had struck down some original provisions of the Act. Click <u>here</u> to know more $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

\n

 It issued some guidelines to protect people against arbitrary arrests under the Act.

\n

- It directed that public servants could be arrested only with the written permission of their appointing authority.
- In the case of private employees, the Senior Superintendent of Police concerned should allow it.

• A preliminary inquiry should be conducted before the First Information Report (FIR) was registered.

\n

• This was to check if the case fell within the ambit of the Act, and whether it was frivolous or motivated.

\n

What was the response?

 $n\$

\n

• The verdict faced sharp criticism from Dalit leaders across the country and political parties.

۱n

- \bullet Dalit groups claimed that the court's order diluted the true spirit of the law. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Despite widespread opposition, the court refused to stay its ruling.
- So dalit groups demanded an ordinance or an Amendment Bill to restore the provisions.

\n

• Following widespread protest, the Union Cabinet had given its nod to the Amendment Bill.

\n

 $n\n$

What does the Bill aim for?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Amendment Bill seeks to insert three new clauses after Section 18 of the original Act.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

1. preliminary enquiry shall not be required for registration of an FIR against any person

\n

2. arrest of a person accused of having committed an offence under the Act would not require any approval

\n

3. provisions of Code of Criminal Procedure on anticipatory bail shall not apply to a case under this Act, "notwithstanding any judgment or order of any Court"

\n

 $n\n$

\n

• The Centre's decision to amend the provisions of the Act appears both reasonable and unavoidable at this juncture.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

