

# **Resolving Power Sector NPAs - II**

### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The Allahabad High Court has decided to deny interim relief to power companies from the RBI's February 12 circular.
- Click <u>here</u> to know more on the case.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the RBI's directive?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The RBI circular sets a 180-day deadline for the resolution of all non-performing assets.

\n

- It requires banks to finalise a resolution plan within this.
- $\bullet$  This is in case of a default on large accounts of Rs 20 billion or more.
- Failing this, insolvency proceedings will have to be invoked against the defaulter.

\n

- $\bullet$  The assets would be taken to the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT).  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- ullet The central bank also introduced the concept of a one-day default.
- Under this, banks have to identify incipient stress even when repayments are overdue by a day.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What is the petition?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Nearly 70 large companies with a debt of around Rs 3.8 trillion would have to face Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code proceedings.
- Three-fourths of this debt pertains to a handful of power producers.
- As many as 34 power plants, with a combined capacity of 39 GW, have been identified as stressed assets.

\n

• Power producers were not the only ones hesitant on submitting to the IBC process.

\n

• The government and even the lenders, mostly public sector banks, were also not keen on implementing the RBI circular.

\n

• They argued that the power sector's problems had more to do with external factors.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### Why is the Court's decision significant?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• It is RBI's discretion to decide whether any particular sector deserves regulatory exceptions.

\n

• In this case, it has decided against granting any exception, which is a sound, rule-based approach.

\n

- $\bullet$  Any judicial intervention would have sent a wrong signal to other defaulters.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- They would have then started seeking judicial reprieve from the RBI's circulars.

\n

• So the high court has consciously avoided a precedent that could have been misused.

\n

• It has done its bit by ensuring the RBI's position as an independent regulator.

\n

- It is not yet clear whether the power producers will move the Supreme Court.
- Till that happens, the RBI deadline stands and banks are bound to start IBC

proceedings.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard** 

\n

