

Regulating the private health sector

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

In India there is an absence of strong regulatory system, to oversee exploitation or malpractice in private and public healthcare sectors.

 $n\n$

What are the significance Indian healthcare sectors?

 $n\n$

\n

- Indian health care has achieved success in delivering speciality services be it cardiology, oncology, complex surgery etc.
- Sophisticated diagnostics have revolutionised medical treatment at a fraction of the cost of treatment overseas.
- \bullet In India private health sector accounts for 80 per cent of outpatient care and 60 per cent of inpatient care. \n
- \bullet The private health players were conferred the status of industry which opened access to cheap, long-term loans. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Private health sector followed 100 per cent automatic Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) from 2000 onwards.

 $n\$

What are the concerns in accessibility of proper healthcare?

 $n\n$

\n

- In India speciality hospitals have a presence only in the metros and other major urban centres.
- In India there are only about 30,000 Primary Health Centres, that to located some 5-15 kilometres away from hundreds of villages.

\n

- The cost of going to a qualified doctor involves foregoing the day's wages for rural masses and facing unforeseen expenditure on transport.
- Due to this a daily wage has perforce to go to an unqualified practitioner (UMP).

\n

- Provision for free medical treatment to economically weaker sections of patients is often ignored by private institutions.
- There is general impression prevails that private establishments are often unethical, greedy, treating medical service as a business and hospitalisation as a source of profit.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the status of unprofessional medical services?

 $n\n$

\n

• A WHO (2016) analysis reveals that India has more unqualified practitioners than qualified doctors.

\n

- \bullet The bulk of the Indian districts have no private hospitals while innumerable single practitioners run thriving businesses. \n
- These establishments are run by persons whose highest qualification is at school level- possessing no recognised medical qualification whatsoever.
- Rural inhabitants are expected to visit Government sub centres managed by an auxiliary midwife (ANM,) for health care.
- An ANM is however not authorised to stock or prescribe drugs needed for acute illnesses.

\n

- \bullet In the absence of enforcement, UMPs and ANMs stock and treat with strong medicine often as demanded by the patient. $\mbox{\ \ }$
- As a result multi-drug resistant TB, failed antibiotic treatment and the irrational use of fourth generation drugs have become a reality.

 $n\n$

\n

- There is virtual absence of regulation of almost everything that happens standards, quality, costs and the absence of an ombudsman.
- Due to unjustified exemptions many trusts and charitable hospitals across metros have avoided binding obligations towards the weaker sections.
- Regulators like the Medical Council of India and the State Medical Councils rarely react to medical malpractice.
- Consumer Protection Act 1986 deals with the failure of service contracts and compensation are not applicable for public sector doctors.
- Clinical Establishment Act 2010 was made to register and regulate all health establishments.

\n

 Not a single state has actually adopted the Act by establishing a regulatory structure capable of enforcing either standards or quality.

 $n\$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

\n

- Technological and regulatory oversight have controlled the private sector in telecommunications, electricity, civil aviation and corporate enterprise.
- A host of Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals and Appellate bodies have exercised the power to supervise and enforce.
- Likewise needs for a strong medical sector regulator for treating and saving human lives has a larger imperative.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

