

Reforming the Sugar Industry

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• The sugar sector is faring well in terms of production, prices and other factors in recent years.

\n

 It thus calls for taking forward the reforms based on recommendations of the Rangarajan committee on sugar deregulation.

 $n\$

What are the problems and recommendations?

 $n\n$

\n

- **Sugarcane Price** The Centre fixes a minimum price, the FRP (fair and remunerative price) paid by mills to farmers.
- States can also intervene in sugarcane pricing with an SAP (state advised prices) to strengthen farmer's interests.
- \bullet Notably, some States such as UP and TN have set SAPs higher than FRPs. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- The Committee thus recommended that <u>states should not declare an SAP</u> as it imposes an additional cost on mills.
- It thus suggested a <u>uniform FRP</u> for farmers.
- And also suggested determining cane prices according to <u>scientifically sound</u> and economically fair principles.
- **Levy sugar** Levy sugar is the 10% of production that every sugar mill mandatorily surrenders to the centre, at a price lower than the market price.
- This enables the central government to get access to low cost sugar stocks for distribution through the Public Distribution System.

• The centre saves a huge sum on account of this policy, the burden of which is borne by the sugar sector.

۱n

 \bullet The Committee recommended $\underline{\text{doing away with levy sugar}}.$

\n

• <u>States</u> wanting to provide sugar under PDS would have to <u>procure it directly</u> <u>from the market.</u>

\n

• **Regulated release of non-levy sugar** - Sugar is produced over the four-six-month sugar season.

\n

- \bullet The Centre allows the release of non-levy sugar into the market on a quarterly basis, to ensure distribution evenly across the year. \n
- \bullet Mills can neither take advantage of high prices to sell the maximum possible stock, nor dispose it to raise cash in need. \n
- It also impacts the ability of mills to pay farmers and thus regulated release imposes costs on both mills and farmers.
- The Committee recommended <u>removing the regulations on release of non-levy sugar</u> to address these problems.
- Trade policy The government has set controls on both export and import of sugar in line with availability, demand and price.
- \bullet Even though India contributes 17% to global sugar production (second largest), its share in the world trade of sugar is meagre. \n
- It is thus recommended to <u>removing the existing restrictions on trade in sugar and converting them into tariffs.</u>
- **Revenue Sharing Mechanism** It stipulates 70-75% of the total <u>revenue</u> earned by sugar mills to be shared with farmers.
- The revenue may accrue from the sale of sugar and its by-products such as molasses, bagasse and co-generated power.
- Being fair to both cane growers and sugar producers, this can also balance sugarcane and sugar output with demand.
- \bullet As returns depend on the sugar recovery from cane, it spurs farmers to grow better varieties and improve efficiency of cane cultivation. \n

• However, a fair and transparent assessment of sugar recovery and revenues of sugar mills is essential.

۱n

• Cane area reservation - It mandates cane farmers to supply their sugarcane to the specific sugar mill.

\n

- It is recommended that cane area reservation <u>be phased out.</u>
- <u>Contracting between farmers and mills</u> should be allowed for enabling a competitive market for assured supply of cane.
- \bullet On discontinuing area reservation, the Centre should \underline{remove} the stipulation of $\underline{minimum\ distance\ criteria\ between\ two\ mills}.$ \n

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• Barring a few financially distressed mills, most sugar companies have been in profit.

\n

• More than 99% of the cane price dues based on FRP have been cleared by the Centre.

\n

- There is, therefore, little reason for delaying the completion of the reforms process recommended by the Rangarajan panel.
- In particular, some proposals that were left to the states to carry out have not made much headway.
- \bullet The other pending or partially done reforms need to be executed. $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: PRS India, Business Standard

\n

