

Reduced PF Contribution for Women Employees

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Budget proposal to reduce the provident fund (PF) contribution of women employees is a welcome move.
- But the real need is addressing the employer's concerns in hiring women employees, to bring in gender balance in workplace.

 $n\$

What is the budget proposal?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Budget proposes to reduce the provident fund (PF) contribution of women employees to 8% from the standard 12%.
- This will be for the first three years.
- \bullet The move comes without any change in the employer's contribution. \n
- \bullet In general, both the employer and the employee pay an equal contribution towards provident fund. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

What are the shortfalls in the approach?

 $n\n$

\n

- As stated in the Budget speech, PF relief is targeted at improving women's participation in the workforce.
- However, the reasons for the steady fall in female workforce participation rates are varied.

\n

• So, how far will the move appreciably effect gender balance in workplace is uncertain.

\n

• **Workplace** - Absence of a conducive workplace environment for women is a major reason for low participation.

۱'n

• This ranges from providing the infrastructural facilities to protection from sexual harassment.

\n

• This prime issue is little to be addressed by PF contribution.

• Maternity leave - Government recently expanded the provision for paid maternity leave from 12 weeks to 26 weeks.

\n

 It also mandated the provision of crèche facilities in firms employing 50 or more employees.

۱'n

Multinational IT subsidiaries offer these facilities.

\n

• State-owned entities also follow the mandates as they have the wherewithal to sustain such costs and are bound to follow the law.

۱n

• However, for many Indian companies, costs of meeting out these regulations may be burdensome.

\n

• This acts as strong disincentive for hiring more women.

• **MSME** - This is particularly true with the medium and small scale (MSME) sectors.

\n

• MSMEs remain the critical employment generators in India.

Notably, many remain outside the formal employment arena.

• Embedding the incentive only in the organised sector would thus produce only a little change.

\n

• As, this account only for a minuscule proportion of employment in India.

 $n\n$

What should be done?

\n

• Incentivising women to join the workforce addresses only a part of the problem.

\n

- When it comes to gender balance in the workplace, the issue at stake is about incentivising companies.
- \bullet This is essential in the first place to encourage them to hire more women. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The monetary and non-monetary costs on companies for the payment of maternity and childcare benefits must be recognised.
- The government can thus consider offering subsidies to minimise this burden.

\n

 \bullet Offering tax breaks for the companies on maternity benefits and expenditure on crèche facilities is also another viable option. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

