

## **RCEP - Tariff Wars**

## Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The ministerial level meeting for RCEP, scheduled currently is expected to see tough negotiations on tariff duties.

 $n\n$ 

### What is the background?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) is a proposed free trade agreement (FTA) between the members of ASEAN and the six other countries Australia, China, India, Japan, South Korea and New Zealand.
- RCEP negotiations were formally launched in November 2012 at the ASEAN Summit in Cambodia.

۱'n

- The agreement is scheduled to be finalized by the end of 2017.
- RCEP is viewed as an alternative to the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

 $n\n$ 

# What are the problems?

 $n\n$ 

- Rigid stands of other nations demanding India to remove import duties on more than 92% of all goods (both agricultural & industrial) is presently making negotiations unsustainable.
- This would mean almost entirely dismantling the wall protecting Indian industry and farmers from indiscriminate competition.
- Considering the disastrous consequences of such a move & the rigidity of others, India would find it difficult to reach a compromise and risks being

isolated.

 $n\$ 

#### What are India's concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- While New Delhi was willing to eliminate tariffs on a considerable number of items on a country to country basis, it is being pressured into treating all members equally, along with almost complete tariff elimination.
- For a country like India, with a large 'sensitive agricultural setup' and labour-intensive industrial sector, bending to such exorbitant demands is impossible to meet.

\n

• **China Fear** - The biggest of worry is the unhindered flow of goods from China with which India already has an annual trade deficit of over \$50 billion.

۱n

 $\bullet$  A Free Trade Agreement (FTA) with no duties on most products could increase this deficit significantly.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## How has the negotiations progressed thus far?

 $n\n$ 

- The gradual cornering of India by RCEP partner countries is reflected in the how the negotiations have progressed.
- $\bullet$   $1^{\rm st}$  Proposal India initially proposed a three-tier system for tariff elimination that was country specific.
- The proposal vouched a reduction of 42.5% of tariff lines for China, New Zealand and Australia, 65% for South Korea and Japan and 80% for ASEAN.
- This was rejected by all members including the ASEAN.
- 2<sup>nd</sup> **Proposal** India was then forced to give up its initial proposal in favour of a single offer for all with only a small caveat for addressing its vulnerabilities with minimum deviations.

\n

• Over the past year, New Delhi has indicated to RCEP members that it could offer to eliminate tariffs on about 70-75% of items for all members with certain minor deviations.

۱n

• These too failed to satisfy the RCEP members & an increased market access for items like wheat and dairy was demanded.

 $n\n$ 

### What does India stand to gain for RCEP?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• India's expected gains in goods from the RCEP pact are not significant, given the fact that the existing tariffs in member countries are already relatively low.

\n

 While India's gains in RCEP are to mainly come from services liberalisation, including easier work visa norms, the offers in that area have been almost non-existent.

\n

 Moreover, many RCEP members are now insisting on inclusion of substantial commitments in the area of e-commerce and investment facilitation — the two areas where India wants to preserve its sovereign right for policymaking.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What are India's options?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• A free trade pact between the RCEP countries accounting for 45% of the world population and over \$21 trillion of GDP does seem attractive, but not at the price India is being asked to pay.

\n

- India should not run the risk of putting the future of its industry and farmers at stake while getting almost nothing in return.
- There is a world of wisdom in exiting while there is still time rather than signing a bad deal.

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Businessline** 

