

## **Prelim Bits 25-09-2019**

## Sutlej Yamuna Link Canal (SYL)

- The Supreme Court recently asked Punjab, Haryana and Centre to sort out **SYL** issue amicably.
- It has brought to centre stage the contentious issue of sharing of waters between the two states.
- The issue dates back to 1966 at the time of reorganisation of Punjab.
- When Haryana was formed, a need arose to share river waters with the newly formed state.
- But Punjab was opposed to sharing waters of Ravi and Beas rivers with Haryana citing riparian principle.
- A decade before the formation of Haryana, the water flowing in Ravi and Beas was assessed at 15.85 million acre feet (MAF).
- Union government had organised a meeting in 1955 between the three stakeholders Rajasthan, undivided Punjab and J&K.
- Rajasthan was allotted 8 MAF, undivided Punjab's share was 7.20 MAF and J&K got 0.65 MAF.
- A decade after reorganisation, the Centre issued a notification allocating 3.5 MAF to Haryana out of 7.2 MAF, Punjab's share.
- In 1982, the then PM launched the construction of Satluj-Yamuna Link canal (SYL).
- A stretch of 214 km **SYL** was to be constructed out of which 122 km was to cross Punjab and the rest 92 km in Haryana.
- But Akalis launched an agitation in the form of Kapoori Morcha against the construction of the canal.
- Then in 1985, then PM and the then Akali Dal chief signed an accord agreeing for a new tribunal to assess the water.
- **Eradi Tribunal** headed by Supreme Court Judge Balakrishna Eradi was set up to reassess availability and sharing of water.
- The Tribunal, in 1987, recommended an increase in the shares of Punjab and Haryana to 5 MAF and 3.83 MAF, respectively.
- In 1985, Akali Dal chief was killed by militants, in less than a month for signing the accord.
- In 1990, a chief engineer and a Superintending Engineer were killed by militants and the construction came to a halt.

- As per government's study, state's many areas may go dry after 2029.
- The state has already over-exploited its groundwater for irrigation purposes.
- When farmers are committing suicides and the raising need for saving water, Punjab says, sharing water with any other state is impossible.
- Haryana has been staking claim on Ravi-Beas through **SYL** canal on the plea that providing water for irrigation for the state.
- Haryana has been lamenting that justice had been denied to the state by not providing its rightful share as assessed by a tribunal.

### **Participatory Guarantee Scheme (PGS)**

- **FSSAI** expects, the Agriculture Ministry's **PGS** to incentivise more farmers to grow organic food.
- Participatory Guarantee Scheme **(PGS)** is a process of certifying organic products.
- It ensures that their production takes place in accordance with the laid-down quality standards.
- The certification is in the form of a documented logo or a statement.
- The certified organic food production is still very low. The PGS brings together peer group of farmers and the costs are low.
- According to **PGS-India**,
  - 1. An 'Operational Manual for Domestic Organic Certification' published in 2015.
  - 2. It was published by the 'National Centre of Organic Farming', Ghaziabad, under the Ministry of Agriculture.
  - 3. **PGS** is a quality assurance initiative, operates outside the framework of third-party certification.
- According to a definition formulated by the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM),
  - 1. PGSs are "locally focused quality assurance systems".
  - 2. It certify the producers based on active participation of stakeholders and are built on a foundation of trust, social networks and knowledge exchange.
  - 3. **IFOAM** is a Bonn-based global umbrella organisation for the organic agriculture movement.

# • Four pillars of PGS in India are,

- 1. Participatory approach, a shared vision, transparency and trust.
- $\bullet$  The advantages of PGS over third-party certification, identified by the government document are,

- 1. Procedures are simple, documents are basic, and farmers understand the local language used.
- 2. All members live close to each other and are known to each other.
- 3. Because peer appraisers live in the same village, they have better access to surveillance.
- 4. Peer appraisal instead of third-party inspections also reduces costs.
- 5. Mutual recognition and support between regional PGS groups ensures better networking for processing and marketing.
- 6. It offers every farmer individual certificates, and the farmer is free to market his own produce independent of the group.
- Individual farmers or group of farmers smaller than 5 members are not covered under **PGS**.
- They either have to opt for third party certification or join the existing **PGS** local group.

#### **National Green Tribunal (NGT)**

- Over the past few years, the National Green Tribunal (**NGT**) has reached a crossroads.
- Instead of giving financial and administrative support to NGT, efforts are usually directed towards diluting its powers.
- Taking into account the increasing number of environmental cases and the involvement of multi-disciplinary issues
- Government enacted the **NGT** Act in 2010 for the effective and expeditious disposal of cases relating to environmental protection, conservation of forests and other natural resources.
- To address complex environmental cases,
  - 1. Section 4 of the NGT Act prescribes that the tribunal shall consist of a full-time chairperson,
  - 2. At least 10 but not exceeding 20 judicial and expert members at all times.
- Section 14, 15 and 16 of the Act state that,
  - 1. The tribunal shall have the jurisdiction over all civil cases where a substantial question relating to environment is involved.
  - 2. Provide relief and compensation to the victims of pollution and other environmental damage arising under the environmental acts, and
  - 3. Hear appeal from any person aggrieved by any order or decision related to environmental matters.
- In the last 9 years, the NGT has never got the minimum strength to address

the increasing number of environmental litigations.

- With no indication of appointment of more judicial and expert members from the MoEF&CC, the 4 zonal benches have been completely shut over the past 1 year.
- Hearing of the zonal bench litigation is nowadays taking place via videoconference and that too only for 1 to 2 hours.
- No expert members to address complex environmental problems ranging from nuclear waste to bio-medical wastes to hazardous wastes.
- In the absence of variety of expert members, decisions, related to the compensation amount to be paid by the polluter are arrived at without any scientific basis.
- This has resulted in an increasing number of appeals against the NGT's decisions in the Supreme Court.
- There is no institutional mechanism to enforce the orders of the tribunal.
- Most of the landmark orders of the NGT related to Ganga water pollution,
  Delhi pollution, and solid waste management remain unenforced.
- Countries like New Zealand and Australia, have specialised environmental courts and they regularly restructure administrative and financial support to increase its efficiency.
- The government needs to provide adequate financial and human resources as NGT deserves more attention today.

**Source: PIB, The Indian Express** 

