

# **POCSO** Act and the Recent SC Ruling

*Prelims: Public policy* | *Social development* | *Current events of national and international importance.* 

## Why in news?

Recently, Supreme Court declined to impose a sentence on a man convicted under the POCSO Act, noting that the victim did not view the incident as a crime and had suffered more from the legal fallout.

#### **POCSO - Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act**

• It was enacted in **2012** to protect children under **18 years** from sexual offences.

• It criminalises,

- Penetrative and non-penetrative sexual assault
- Sexual harassment
- Child pornography

• Gender-Neutral Law - The Act applies equally to all children under the age of 18, regardless of gender.

• It also recognizes that offenders can be of any gender.

• **Consent of Minors is Not Legally Valid** – Any sexual activity involving a person below 18 is considered non-consensual by law.

• Even if the minor claims it was consensual, the law deems it sexual offence under the law.

• **Special Courts -** It establishes Special Courts in every district for **speedy trials**.

• **Child-Friendly Procedures -** It ensures **in-camera trials**, where the public is not allowed during proceedings.

• It allows **video-recorded testimonies** and statements to prevent re-traumatizing the child.

• **Presumption of Guilt** - Under **Section 29**, if a person is accused of a sexual offence under POCSO, the court **presumes the accused is guilty** unless proven otherwise.

• **Time-Bound Trials** - The law mandates that recording of the child's evidence should be completed within **30 days** of the Special Court taking cognizance.

• The entire trial should be completed **within one year** from the date of taking cognizance.

- **Case background** In 2018, a **13-year-old girl** went missing and was later found married to a **25-year-old man**.
- Despite her mother's rescue attempts, she stayed with him and later gave birth to a child.
- The accused was convicted in 2022 under POCSO, and various IPC Sections.
- Special POCSO Court sentenced the accused to **20 years imprisonment**.
- The Calcutta High Court overturned the conviction and recommended exclusion of consensual adolescent relationships from POCSO.
- **Supreme Court set aside** the Calcutta HC's ruling and withheld sentencing and sought a deeper understanding of the victim's present situation.

- It **deferred sentencing under** <u>Article 142</u> of the Constitution, citing:
  - The **victim's welfare**
  - $\circ\,$  Her continued support for the accused
  - $\circ~{\rm Her}$  social isolation and poverty
- A **three-member expert committee** was formed to assesses the situation before a final decision.
- **Expert panel findings -** It flagged the inadequate implementation of the POCSO Act as a "**collective failure**".
- It recommended keeping the family unit intact for the child's welfare, alongside providing financial, legal, and educational support.
- SC withheld sentencing and directed the West Bengal government to ensure her welfare and rehabilitation.

## **Controversy in SC ruling**

- SC's withholding of punishment using its extraordinary jurisdiction (Article 142) is unusual.
- It could lead to misuse by perpetrators claiming "consensual" relationships with minors.
- It undermines the **core intent** of **absolute protection** for children from exploitation.

## Way Forward

- Need for **comprehensive sex education** and a **stigma-free curriculum** in schools.
- **Reforms in POCSO implementation** to make justice more sensitive and effective.
- Exceptions must remain rare and guided strictly by law and welfare but not social pressure or sentiment.

#### Reference

The Hindu| SC deviated from the POCSO Act in a recent judgment

