

Pink Bollworm Infestation in Bt Cotton

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

• India is the only Bt cotton-growing country facing the problem of pink bollworm infestation.

\n

- A look into the various factors that make it a problem unique for India and the consequences therein is essential. \n

\n\n

What is the infestation concern?

\n\n

\n

- The pink bollworm infestation is plaguing cotton farmers. \slashn
- Monsanto is an American agro-tech company that released the Bt cotton in India.
 - \n
- Bollgard 2 or BG-2 is Monsanto's second generation insecticidal technology for cotton.

\n

• BG has a single bacterial gene called CryA1C, and BG-2 has CryA1C and Cry2AB2.

\n

- Both are designed to protect the crop against pink bollworm.
 - ∖n
- But the pest has grown resistant to the toxins produced by this trait. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- BG began failing against the pest in 2009, and BG-2 began failing in 2014. \n

\n\n

What is the significance?

\n\n

\n

• **Cost** - As a result of this, farmers now spend more on pesticides to control infestations.

\n

- This, along with the high cost of Bt seeds, is driving farmers to deprivation. $\slash n$
- India Interestingly, none of the other 14 Bt cotton-growing countries have seen this resistance.

\n

• China still successfully controls pink bollworm with first-generation Bt cotton.

∖n

 The U.S. and Australia are moving on to third-generation BG-3 without having faced this problem.

\n\n

Why is it a problem unique for India?

\n\n

∖n

- Hybrids are crosses between two crops that often see higher yields than their parents, in a genetic phenomenon called heterosis. \n
- All other Bt cotton-growing countries mainly grow open-pollinated cotton varieties rather than hybrids. $\gamman{\label{eq:scalar}\label{eq:scalar}\label{eq:scalar}\label{eq:scalar}\label{eq:scalar}$
- But India restricted itself to cultivating long-duration hybrids since the introduction of Bt cotton in 2002.
- This is said to be the reason for the pink bollworm growing resistant to toxins in India. \n

\n\n

What are the factors behind?

\n\n

\n

• Agreement - Monsanto licensed its BG and BG-2 traits to Indian seed companies.

\n

- The agreement restricted the introduction of these traits to hybrids only. \n

- Cropping pattern When Monsanto introduced Bt cotton in India, the technology was so popular.
 \n
- Many cotton farmers shifted to it in large numbers.

\n

- However, absence of open-pollinated Bt option forced many farmers to shift en masse to hybrids. \n
- From 2002 to 2011, the area under cotton hybrids rose from 2% in north India and 40% elsewhere to 96% across the country. \n
- Seed protection India is the only country whose intellectual property laws have never prevented its farmers from either saving or selling seeds. \n
- Other countries restrict saving and selling of seeds in various degrees. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Some countries allow farmers to reuse seeds from a protected plant variety, but not to sell them.

∖n

• In the U.S., where plant varieties are patented, the patented seeds cannot even be reused.

∖n

- Seed Companies Without seed protection mechanism, several seed companies in India prefer hybrids.
 \n
- This is because unlike open-pollinated varieties, hybrids lose their genetic stability when their seeds are replanted. \n
- This compels farmers to repurchase seeds each year and in a way protects corporate revenues. γn

\n\n

What are the consequences?

\n\n

\n

- One adverse consequence is resulted from the cost of the hybrids. $\space{1.5mm}$
- **Density** Besides, hybrids are also bigger and bushier.

\n

• This forces farmers to cultivate them at low densities of 11,000 to 16,000 crops per acre.

\n

- This is suboptimal, as countries like the U.S. and Brazil plant cotton at 80,000 to 100,000 per acre. \n
- The low densities also drive Indian farmers to grow them longer so that they produce enough cotton. γn
- Toxicity The introduction of the Bt gene into only one parent of Indian hybrids, as is the practice, is itself a problem.
- The resulting hybrids are hemizygous, which means that they express only one copy of the Bt gene.
 \n
- They thus produce cotton bolls that have some seeds toxic to the pink bollworm and some that are not. \n
- Unlike this, the homozygous seeds of open-pollinated varieties in the U.S., China or Australia have 100% toxic seeds. n
- The problem is hemizygous hybrids allow pink bollworms to survive on toxin-free seeds when they are vulnerable newborns. \n
- But this is only a hypothesis, and experiments are needed to confirm this. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$

\n\n

How does pink bollworm affect crops?

\n\n

\n

- When all the above factors combine with the pink bollworm's biology, it creates favourable conditions for resistance. \n
- The pest does its most damage in the latter half of the cotton-growing season.
 - \n
- It does not consume any other crop that grows then. $\slash n$
- So, the long duration of Indian cotton crops, between 160 and 300 days, allows this pest to thrive and evolve resistance. \n
- Contradictorily, other cotton-growing countries strictly terminate the crop within 160 days, arresting resistance growth if any. \n

What is the way out?

\n\n

\n

- The National Seed Association of India suggested the government to encourage a move back to Bollgard.
- Notably, Monsanto has not patented BG in India.
 \n
- \bullet Farmers should move swiftly to the short-duration crop varieties. \n
- This is where Monsanto's first-generation Bollgard comes in. \n
- Seed companies cannot develop open-pollinated varieties with BG-2, but they can with BG as Monsanto did not patent BG in India. \n

\n\n

What are the challenges?

\n\n

∖n

- Moving back Some say that when the many countries are moving to BG-3, moving back to BG in India would be a bad idea.
- This is because the problem was not with the BG trait but with long-duration cotton.

\n

- **Pests** Even if BG-2 does not fend off the pink bollworm, it still protects against other pests like tobacco cutworm and American bollworm. \n
- The presence of two Bt genes in BG-2 means it will be more effective than BG in delaying resistance against these pests. \n
- Resistance Another challenge is that even if government incentivises a return to BG, the seed companies are unlikely to stop making BG-2 seeds. \n
- If India cultivates both BG and BG-2, simultaneously, that may accelerate resistance among pests. \n
- This could trigger the emergence of new cotton pests. $\slash n$
- India erred by not clamping down on long-duration crops when Bt cotton was first introduced.

\n

• At least now it must base its policy on sound science and implement it stringently.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

