

Pakistan and FATF

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- Financial Action Task Force (FATF) has grey listed Pakistan for its alleged laxity in curtailing finances of terrorist groups within its soil.
- The move was initiated by the U.S. and will now place Pakistan under international scrutiny to prove its compliance with FATF norms.

 $n\$

What is the Financial Action Task Force?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) was set up in 1989 by the western G7 countries, with headquarters in Paris.
- FATF has 37 members that include all 5 permanent members of the Security Council, and other countries with economic influence.
- Two regional organisations, the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) and the European Commission (EC) are also its members.
- Saudi Arabia and Israel are "observer countries" (partial membership).
- India became a full member in 2010.

 $n\n$

What is the objective?

 $n\n$

۱n

• FATF acts as an 'international watchdog' on issues of money-laundering and financing of terrorism.

\n

• It works on a peer reviewing model.

۱n

- It is empowered to curtail financing of UN-designated terrorist groups.
- \bullet Such censors would make it difficult for the concerned countries to source financial flows internationally and thereby constrain them economically. \n

 $n\n$

What is the issue with Pakistan?

 $n\$

\n

• Pakistan is particularly vulnerable to pressures from FATF.

\n

• This is because multiple internationally designated terrorist groups operate from its soil.

\n

• Notable among them are the Afghan Taliban, Haqqani Network, Lashkar-e-Taiba (LeT) and Jaish-e-Mohammed (JeM).

۱n

• Pakistan argues that it is doing its best to prevent terrorism emanating from its soil.

۱'n

• But it does not recognize India focused JeM and LeT as terrorists.

• These groups were publicly making calls for promoting unrest in India.

• Moreover there are ample evidence for their role in the Pathankot attack and the 26/11 Mumbai attack.

\n

Despite these, Pakistan has been claiming absence of conclusive proof.

 $n\n$

What are the America's Concerns?

 $n\$

\n

• U.S. backed regime in Kabul is seeing increasing threats from the Taliban, which seems to be operating with Pakistani support.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- To save the regime, the U.S. recently augmented its troops in Afghanistan and is working to strengthen the Afghan armed forces.
- Additionally, the U.S. has cut defence and economic assistance to Pakistan for going soft on terrorists groups that operate from within Pakistani soil.
- \bullet In line with these developments, the US initiated moves at FATF to place Pakistan on the "grey list" (which will come into effect by June). \n
- \bullet Notably, the U.S. and its NATO allies are more focused on the groups invested in Afghanistan than the ones directed at India. \n

 $n\n$

What is the way ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- As Pakistan has now been placed in the grey list, it will now have to provide a detailed action plan to curbing funding for UN-designated terrorist groups.
- If Pakistan fails, FATF will be 'black listing' it, a move that could virtually cut all financial flows.

\n

- \bullet The developments at FATF have frustrated many in Pakistan.
- But no political party seems capable of asking the military to end its support for terrorists.

\n

- As there seems to be a lack of a genuine effort to curtail terrorist activity, all major economies must be persuaded to boycott Pakistan.
- While China might continue to aid Pakistan financially, its funding model thus far has been only increasing Pakistan's debt burden.
- Given its precarious foreign exchange position, Pakistan will inevitably have to seek a bailout from international organisations like IMF and World Bank.
- Consensus needs to be strengthened for not providing concessional credits unless terror infrastructure is irrevocably dismantled.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Line

\n

