

Ordinance to Amend the IBC

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) came into force in 2016 with the goal of easing the resolution of stagnant corporate debt. \nlambda{n}
- Recently, an ordinance was passed, which significantly amends the original law and risks defeating the very intent of IBC. \n

\n\n

What is the intent of the amendment?

\n\n

\n

• IBC was enacted to ensure time bound corporate debt resolution through proceeding initiated by either the creditor or debtor with the 'National Company Law Tribunal – NCLT'.

∖n

- In the original IBC, there was a possibility for defaulters to apply as bidders in the liquidation (auctioning assets) process. \n
- This would have helped them regain control of their own companies with a reduced loan burden than before. γ_n
- This was seen as a clever way to gain loan reductions that could possibly impact the credibility of the insolvency resolutions. \n
- It has hence been considered necessary to prohibit unscrupulous defaulters from submitting resolution plans under IBC. \n
- The current ordinance specifies the categories of persons who are deemed ineligible henceforth to ensure credible debt resolution. \n

\n\n

What is the problem with the amendment?

\n\n

\n

- **Purpose of IBC** IBC is not merely an instrument for liquidation. n
- Instead, it is also envisioned as an enabling legal framework for the "reorganisation and insolvency resolution of corporate entities". \n
- It fact, it even prescribes a time bound procedure for "maximising the asset value of such entities and to promote entrepreneurship". \n
- Amendment Wilful defaulters have put creditors to substantial financial hardships and barring them from bidding is a good move. \n
- But the category of people barred under the current ordinance is too broad and risks defeating the very objectives of IBC. \n
- The ordinance's scope & wording is such that all loans that have become NPAs can be branded as wilful default. \n
- Even, the promoters and members of the management board of companies whose loan accounts are classified as non-performing for just 1 year (or more) have also been barred from bidding.
- Notably, the amendments have been made with retrospective effect to also cover the more than 600 cases already referred to NCLT. \n
- The Business Also, the complete barring of all original owners from bidding for assets might not make economic sense.
 \n

\n\n

\n

 This is because they would have a better knowledge of the market dynamics and might have nurtured a clientele that might be difficult to emulate for other bidders.

\n\n

\n

• Barring them could potentially prolong debt servicing as the new management might take time to set in.

\n

\n\n

Do all NPAs necessarily mean wrong intent?

\n\n

∖n

- The central bankers have often pointed out that not all bad loans are a result of intentional default on the borrower's part. \n
- Companies in some sectors have struggled to service debts due to unpredictable external factors that adversely impacted their finances. \n
- Promoters of such firms from should be given a chance to restructure and turnaround their business. \n
- Barring them merely because their loans have turned sour is unfair to both the entrepreneur and the enterprise itself. \n
- Steel Industry's Case Steel companies were among the worst hit in the wake of the global downturn in commodity prices. n
- It has been reported that the promoters of some of these debt-laden steelmakers were considering participating in bids. \n
- They wanted to restructure debts and their businesses and were hoping to run them again which the current amendment hinders. \n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- The ordinance is expected to be tabled in the winter session of the parliament in December.
 - \n
- It needs to be debated thoroughly and a more rationale debt resolution framework needs to be evolved. \n
- Else, instead of solving the NPA problem, IBC could aggravate it. \n
- The robustness of the insolvency framework is bound to have a significant impact on investments in the economy.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n

