

# On Path to Fiscal Consolidation

## What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- ullet The government has been breaching the fiscal deficit targets time and again.  $\n$
- Understanding the fiscal status in the light of the recent budget and the recent review committee's recommendations is imperative.

 $n\n$ 

### What is the FRBM Act?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• The Fiscal Responsibility and Budget Management (FRBM) Act was enacted in 2003.

\n

• It led to the framing of FRBM Rules in 2004.

• **Rules** - It essentially sets targets for the Central government to ensure fiscal discipline.

۱n

• The FRBM rules set a target: \n

 $n\n$ 

\n

i. for reduction of fiscal deficit to 3% of the GDP by 2008-09 (with annual reduction target of 0.3% of GDP)

\n

ii. for complete elimination of revenue deficit by 2008-09 (with annual reduction target of 0.5% of the GDP)  $^{\mbox{\scriptsize \sc h}}$ 

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• **Amendments** - The target of 3% was achieved only once, in 2007-08.

• It was thus first postponed and later suspended in 2009, following the global financial crisis.

\n

- The FRBM Act was later amended twice, in 2012 and 2015.
- In May 2016, the government set up the NK Singh committee to review the FRBM Act.

\n

In this backdrop, the recent budget has reset the target again.

 $n\n$ 

# What is the present fiscal picture?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• FISCAL DEFICIT - The current Budget has retained the fiscal deficit at 3.5% of GDP.

\n

- This is a deviation from the budgeted target of 3.2%.
- 3.2% itself is a **deviation** from the stipulated target of 3% for 2017-18 in the amended FRBM Act.

\n

• **DEBT** - The **debt-GDP ratio** has increased to 49.1% in 2017-18 from 48.7% in 2016-17.

۱n

• The **increase** in debt-GDP ratio is against a declining trend observed until recently.

\n

- MTFP As per the requirement of the FRBM Act, a medium-term fiscal policy (MTFP) statement is presented in each Budget.
- The statement pertains to fiscal, revenue, and effective revenue deficits, and outstanding debt of the Central government.
- A review of the statements highlights **missing the targets** for all four variables often by big margins.

 $n\n$ 

# What are the recent changes in targets?

• FISCAL DEFICIT - Budget 2018-19 has proposed amending the FRBM Act again.

\n

- This will shift the target of **3%** fiscal deficit-GDP ratio to end-**March 2021**.
- **DEBT** The **general** debt-GDP ratio is slated to be reduced to **60%** of the GDP by **2024-25**.

\n

• The **Central** government debt-GDP ratio is to be reduced to **40**% of the GDP by **2024-25**.

۱n

• These targets are based on the recommendations of the FRBM Review Committee.

\n

 $\bullet$  However, the committee's target of 2022-23 is shifted to 2024-25.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$ 

 $n\$ 

## What are the deviations from the recommendations?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• **FISCAL DEFICIT** - The FRBM review **committee** proposed the fiscal deficit to GDP ratio to be stabilised at **2.5%**.

\n

• This target is derived in reference to the annual estimate of available investible resources at 10% of GDP.

\n

• It comprises of surplus savings of the household sector and sustainable net capital inflows.

۱'n

Apparently, the government did not accept this and continued with the 3% target.

\n

- $\bullet$  If 3% target is the case, the government would have continued with the present FRBM Act.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{\sc Nn}}}$
- **REVENUE DEFICIT** The **committee** had specified a revenue deficit glide path, reaching **0.8% by 2022-23.**
- But, **no target** has been set by the **government** for revenue deficit **in** the present budget.

- The target of revenue account balance is significant to a country.
- It is favourable if a country borrows as long as the entire borrowing is spent on capital spending.

۱n

• However, in the Indian context, the revenue deficit with some adjustments reflects **government dis-savings**.

\n

- $\bullet$  Unless government dis-savings are eliminated, it will be difficult to reverse the trend of a falling savings rate. \n
- FISCAL COUNCIL The Committee recommended the setting up of a fiscal council.

\n

• This is to independently examine the economic case and justification for deviating from the specified targets.

۱n

- $\bullet$  This is to keep a check on unconstrained fiscal flexibility and to prevent the possibly avoidable fiscal risks.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The Central government however did not accept this recommendation as well.

\n

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- **FISCAL DISCIPLINE** A slippage margin of 50 basis points implies a delay in reaching the fiscal deficit target by two and a half years.
- The government has been missing the fiscal responsibility targets year after year and changing the statutory framework repeatedly.
- This questions the credibility of the government's commitment to fiscal discipline.

\n

- EXTRA-BUDGETARY RESOURCES In the recent budget, government's total outlay for 3 focus areas is 11.6% of GDP.
- The focus areas are agriculture and rural livelihoods, infrastructure and education, and health and social sectors.

- Notably, **budgetary resources** constitute only **16.4%** of the total outlay.
- The remaining 83.6% is to be raised as an extra-budgetary resource.
- This will be through the public sector enterprises concerned, special purpose vehicles and other similar institutions.

\n

- However, a substantial part of this may only be based on  ${\color{red} \textbf{borrowing}}.$   ${\color{blue} \ }^{n}$
- $\bullet$  This is because the relevant bodies may have only limited surpluses.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- This will be an added borrowing dependence for extra-budgetary resources along with States' borrowing requirements.
- Given the already falling savings rate, increased borrowing can put considerable pressure on interest rates.

 $n\n$ 

## What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The fiscal deficit rule in India has been honoured more in breach than in observance.

\n

- Another year of fiscal slippage can be fatal for the economy.
- The fiscal deficit target needs continued vigilance to ensure sustainability for larger fiscal benefits.

\n\n

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

