

New Electricity Guidelines for South Asia

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Union Ministry of Power issued a memo that set the rules for the flow of electricity across South Asian borders.

• The new electricity guidelines are seen as a first step towards creating a true regional market.

\n

 $n\$

How has energy cooperation been?

 $n\n$

۱n

• In the early 2000s, India tried with the SAARC countries for cross-border energy flows.

۱n

- It began to gain steam with substantial power trade agreements between India and Bhutan (2006) and Bangladesh (2010).
- These were driven by India's need for affordable power to fuel quickened growth in a recently liberalised economy.

 $n\n$

What happened thereafter?

 $n\n$

- The SAARC Framework Agreement for Energy Cooperation and the India-Nepal Power Trade Agreement were signed in 2014.
- \bullet These agreements imposed only few restrictions on trade.
- But it formulated an institutional structure to allow private sector

participation and to facilitate market rationality in electricity commerce.

• The new government aimed for a seamless SAARC power grid, for power transmission within SAARC countries.

\n

• E.g. offshore wind projects set up in Sri Lanka's coastal borders to power Pakistan or Nepal

\n

- But later, in 2016, the Union Ministry of Power released certain guidelines.
- It imposed a slew of major restrictions on who could engage in cross-border electricity trade.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet They seemed to be a reaction to perceptions of increased Chinese investment and influence in the energy sectors of South Asian neighbours. \n

 $n\n$

What were the concerns in 2016 guidelines?

 $n\$

- The guidelines prevented anyone other than Indian generators in the neighbouring country from selling power to India.
- So, many privately held companies, particularly in Nepal, that had hoped to trade with India were excluded.
- In restricting access to the vast Indian market, the economic rationale for Nepali hydropower built for export was lost.
- \bullet The requirement that the exporting generation companies to be majority owned by an Indian entity worried Bhutan. $\$
- This created friction in joint ventures between India and Bhutan.
- Bhutan was also concerned about the limited access to India's main electricity spot markets.
- Here, Bhutan could have been well placed to profit from evening peaks in demand.

\n

- Bangladesh sensed an opportunity to partially address its power crisis with imports from Bhutan and Nepal routed through Indian territory.
- But the guidelines complicated this by giving India disproportionate control over such trade.

\n

 $n\n$

How are the new guidelines?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Liberal** - A liberal trading regime is in India's national interest.

• So the new guidelines resolve the above issues and make the governance of electricity trade less restrictive.

\n

- The concern that India was enabling the incursion of foreign influence into neighbouring power sectors was addressed.
- India now recognises that economic interdependency created by such arrangements have the political benefit of positioning India as a stable development partner.

۱'n

- **Greener grid** As India transitions to a power grid dominated by renewables, regional trade could prove useful in maintaining grid stability.
- \bullet A wider pool of generation sources, particularly hydropower from the Himalayas, is instrumental for a greener grid. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- \bullet Nepal and Bhutan have also, for long, recognised the potential of sustainable use of vast hydropower reserves for their prosperity. \n

 $n\n$

What is the significance?

 $n\n$

- The new guidelines could create a true regional market and lead South Asian electricity trade in progressive directions.
- Generators across the subcontinent could now compete to deliver low-cost,

green energy to consumers.

\n

• The new guidelines also, for the first time, allow tripartite trading arrangements.

\n

• Power generated in a country is routed over the territory of a neighbour to be consumed in a third.

۱n

• Since this would soften the hard borders of South Asia, it is essentially a political vision too.

\n

• This is a crucial move towards the evolution of complex, multi-country market arrangements.

\n

- \bullet Such markets require the construction of regional institutions that absorb the politics and manage the technicalities of electricity trade. \n
- Going ahead, South Asian nations might have to build joint, independent regional institutions that offer clear and stable rules.
- \bullet In an atmosphere of regional mistrust, the new rules are a rare and recent example of political pragmatism. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

