

Need for Non-Aligned Movement

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

The recent developments in the international order reflect the need for a renewed non-aligned movement as a soft balancing mechanism against powerful states.

 $n\n$

What was the soft balancing strategy?

 $n\n$

\n

- The Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) and its precursor, the Bandung Afro-Asian conference in 1955 were examples of this.
- It was adopted by the weaker states towards great powers engaged in intense rivalry and conflict after the Second World War.

۱n

• The newly emerging states had little material ability to constrain superpower conflict and arms build-ups.

\n

 They hence, under the leadership of India's Jawaharlal Nehru, Egypt's Gamal Abdel Nasser and Indonesia's Sukarno adopted a soft balancing strategy, the NAM.

\n

- It was later joined by Yugoslavia's Josip Broz Tito.
- It aimed at challenging the superpower excesses and was a mechanism for preventing the global order from sliding into war.

 $n\n$

Was NAM successful?

 $n\n$

۱n

 \bullet In the long run, some of the goals of NAM were achieved.

• Despite its shortcomings, the NAM and the Afro-Asian grouping acted as a limited soft balancing mechanism.

\n

- \bullet It attempted to delegitimise the threatening behaviour of the superpowers.
- It was particularly through their activism at the UN and other such forums including that on Disarmament.

\n

- \bullet The non-aligned declarations on nuclear testing and nuclear non-proliferation helped concretise the 1963 Partial Test Ban Treaty. $\$
- They also helped create several nuclear weapon free zones as well as formulate the Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty.
- \bullet The tradition of 'non-use of nuclear weapons' was strengthened partially due to non-aligned countries' activism at the UN. $\$
- Also, the UNGA declared decolonisation as a key objective in 1960.
- It was practised, especially in the 1950s and 1960s, in Africa, parts of Asia and the Caribbean.
- NAM definitely deserves partial credit for ending colonialism through their activism at the UN General Assembly.

\n\n

Did NAM lose its relevance?

 $n\n$

۱n

\n

• In the 1970s, some of the key players, including India, began to lose interest in the movement.

\n

- They started forming coalitions with one or the other superpower to handle their conflicts with their neighbours.
- The Western countries often portrayed non-alignment as pro-Soviet or ineffective.

- The general intellectual opposition was the result of the Western scholarly bias against a coalitional move by the weaker states.
- In the hierarchical international system, the weaker states are expected to

simply abide by the dictates of the stronger ones.

 $n\$

How is the international order at present?

 $n\n$

\n

- The great powers are once again launching a new round of nuclear arms race, territorial expansion and militarisation of the oceans.
- \bullet The freedom of navigation activities of the U.S. is generating hostile responses from China. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- In turn, China is building artificial islets and military bases in the South China Sea and expanding its naval interests into the Indian Ocean.
- The U.S. as the reigning hegemon will find the Chinese takeover threatening and try different methods to dislodge it.
- If the present trends continue, a military conflict in the South China Sea is likely and the naval competition will take another decade or so to become intense.

\n

 \bullet Smaller states would be the first to suffer if there is a war in the Asia-Pacific or an intense Cold War develops between the U.S. and China. $\$

 $n\n$

Why is NAM needed now?

 $n\n$

۱n

- A renewed activism by leading global south countries may be necessary to delegitimise the new imperial ventures.
- These states must play a balancing role to avoid the international order from deteriorating and to prevent any new forms of cold and hot wars.
- China, the U.S. and Russia need to be balanced and restrained.
- Some countries are already showing some elements of strategic autonomy favoured by the NAM.

- Developing countries can engage more with China and India and restrain the U.S. and Russia from aggravating military conflict in Asia-Pacific.
- More concrete initiatives are needed by the emerging states in the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) grouping.
- \bullet The soft balancing by non-superpower states has a key role to play in this. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

