

# **Live Streaming of Supreme Court Proceedings**

#### Why in news?

A full court meeting of Supreme Court has decided to live stream all proceedings of its Constitution Benches from September 27 onward, nearly 4 years after a plea was made in the interest of transparency.

## What is the history of the case?

- On August 26 2022, the Supreme Court streamed its proceedings live.
- In 2018, a three-judge Bench agreed to hear a public interest litigation seeking live streaming of judicial proceedings on matters of constitutional and national importance.
- Need for live proceedings- The petitioners cited the
  - Principle of transparency
  - Greater access to the justice system.
  - Bring discipline and improve how judges and lawyers conduct the proceedings
- Recommendations of Attorney General of India
  - The Attorney General (A-G) recommended introducing live streaming as a pilot project in Court No.1 (the CJI's court) and only in Constitution Bench cases.
  - $\circ$  The A-G cited de-congestion of courts and improving physical access to courts for litigants.

#### What is the Supreme Court's view on the matter?

- The Supreme Court approved a set of guidelines suggested by the Attorney General which included
  - Allowing transcripts
  - Archiving the proceedings
- However, the court has the power to withhold broadcasting.
- Exceptions- Exception to live streaming is provided for cases involving
  - Matrimonial matters
  - Matters involving interests of juveniles or private life of young offenders
  - Matters of National security
  - $\circ$  To ensure that victims, witnesses or defendants can depose truthfully and without any fear
  - To protect confidential or sensitive information, including all matters relating to sexual assault and rape
  - Matters where publicity would be antithetical to the administration of justice
  - Cases that provoke sentiments and enmity among communities

Currently, the Gujarat, Jharkhand, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, and Patna High Courts live stream their proceedings.

#### What about live proceeding in other countries?

- **USA** While the US Supreme Court has rejected pleas for broadcast of its proceedings, it has allowed audio recording and transcripts of oral arguments.
- **Australia** Live or delayed broadcasting is allowed but the practices and norms differ across courts.
- **Brazil** Live video and audio broadcast of court proceedings, including the voting process undertaken by the judges in court, is allowed.
- **Canada** Proceedings are broadcast live, accompanied by explanations of each case and overall processes and powers of the court.
- **South Africa** South Africa has allowed the media to broadcast court proceedings in criminal matters as an extension of the right to freedom of expression.
- **United Kingdom** Proceedings are broadcast live with a one-minute delay on the court's website with certain exemptions.

### What are the concerns around live streaming?

- **Disinformation** There are fears that irresponsible or motivated use of content could spread disinformation among the public.
- **Individual exposure** Lessons from the Brazilian Supreme Court concluded that justices behave like politicians when given free television time, they act to maximize their individual exposure.
- Enhances undesirable speech- Live streaming has the potential to simultaneously suppress desirable speech and enhance undesirable speech within the courtroom.
- **No unpopular comments** During hearings, judges may not ask questions or make comments that could be perceived as unpopular.
- **Gendered disruptions-** A study on the audio proceedings of the US Supreme Court showed that judicial interactions at oral argument are highly gendered, with women being interrupted at disproportionate rates.
- **Demonise the judges** Most propaganda videos, often demonise the professional and are anonymous and avoid any accountability.
- **Propagate oral observations** Live streaming may accentuate the trend of oral observations of the court rather than the final verdict.

#### References

- 1. https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/live-streaming-of-sc-proceedings-the-ration ale-and-the-concerns-8164955/
- 2. <a href="https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/supreme-court-live-streaming-debate-proce-edings-explained-8163990/">https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/supreme-court-live-streaming-debate-proce-edings-explained-8163990/</a>
- 3. <a href="https://blog.ipleaders.in/need-know-public-interest-litigation-pil/">https://blog.ipleaders.in/need-know-public-interest-litigation-pil/</a>

#### **Quick facts**

• Full court meeting- A full court meeting is attended by all judges of the court.

- Public Interest Litigation- PIL has not been defined in any Indian statute.
- A Public Interest Litigation is a form of litigation that is filed to safeguard or enforce public interest.
- Any individual or organisation can file a PIL either in own standing or on behalf of a section of society who is disadvantaged or oppressed and is not able to enforce their own rights.
- Suo moto cognizance may also be taken by the Court.
- PILs are extensions of writ jurisdiction that may be filed either under Article 32 or under Article 226 of the Indian Constitution.

