

Lessons from China's Growth Story

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Chinese economy has rose to a position of dominance in international trade from humble beginning just about 50 years ago. \n
- Considering the similarities at the start and the size of their populations, India has much to learn from the Chinese story. \n

\n\n

How does China's and India's growth fare?

\n\n

∖n

- History China and India started rebuilding their economies as independent nations in the 1950s, with India having a greater structural advantage. \n
- Both economies struggled after the initial euphoria and faced tremendous challenges in tackling a burgeoning population and a very poor growth rate. \n
- Significantly, the same time saw Japan, Germany and South East Asian countries seeing miraculous growth rates. \n
- Excessive government control, corruption and civil dissatisfaction were proving to be a serious menace in both. \n
- Growth In 1978, after the death of Mao, China liberalised its economy, by inviting foreign capital and promoting its coastal areas for investment. \n
- Also, agriculture was freed from state control and "One-child policy' was introduced to reduce population. \n
- Today, China is a \$12.5 trillion economy the 2^{nd} largest in absolute terms and the largest in PPP terms.

- India took to liberalisation in 1991 after an economic crisis and grew at around an impressive 8% per annum from then. \n
- But with a \$2.5 trillion, it is currently way behind China. $\space{1mm}\s$

\n\n

How did China's case evolve?

\n\n

\n

- China first focused on labour-intensive industries to create jobs for its huge labour force textiles, garments, toys, assembly lines, electronics etc... \n
- It created multiple "Special Economic Zones" (SEZ), where large tracts along the coast were provided with a differential pro-business ecosystem. \n
- It soon attracted over massive FDI and become the factory of the world and the largest global importer and exporter. \n
- With liberal bank lending, it also enabled the growth of large firms which could invest and sustain a virtuous economic cycle. \n
- Also, a decentralised economic model that empowered provinces to make many economic decisions, helped reduce bureaucratic bottlenecks. \n
- China invested massively in skill development and higher education, promoted urbanisation by nudging over 400 million towards cities. \n
- Through mercantilist policies, it created a foreign currency kitty of over \$4 trillion and managed its currency to keep its advantage. \n

\n\n

How is this in contrast to India's?

\n\n

∖n

- Contrarily, India incentivised capital-intensive industries and missed focusing on job creation in the initial years.
- India championed the romantic notion of rural villages, failing to understand that rapid urbanisation and effective city governance was the future. n

- Also, its policy of reserving many goods for the MSME sector and the regressive labour policies inhibited free business. \n
- Notably, only an effective 4.7% of India's GDP was invested whereas the need was at least 6.5%, which was 8.5% in China's case. \n
- Resultantly, India's supply-chain costs are at 14% of GDP in contrast to China's 6%, which makes India highly uncompetitive. \n

\n\n

What is the way ahead?

\n\n

∖n

- While GST is a good move to boost trade, huge investment in infrastructure and job creation is need for bettering the entire eco-system. \n
- Removing restrictive labour regulations and reducing corporate taxes to 25% for all and special tax breaks for select industries needs to be considered. \n
- Increasing investment to at least 6.5% of GDP, release divestment in state-owned mature infrastructure assets would also help. \n
- Bettering port, power, highways and rail infrastructure and investing in higher education for developing human capital are essential. \n
- Promoting innovation by providing considerable autonomy to research institutions and investing in urban governance is another priority. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Indian Express

