

## Learning from Japan's 'womenomics'

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Abenomics was the economic revival strategy put forth by Prime Minister of Japan.

\n

- It projects that womenomics as one of the ways to revive the ailing Japanese economy and also getting more women into the workforce and in positions of leadership.

\n

- Other components of abenomics are expansionary monetary and fiscal policies, structural and economic reform and also consist of deregulation, trade liberalization, tax reform and industrial restructuring.

\n

\n\n

### Why womenomics is necessary for Japan?

\n\n

\n

- Japanese women are highly educated on average have a higher college enrolment than men.

\n

- Yet female labour force participation rate in Japan has been among the lowest among OECD countries.

\n

- Following Abenomics, female labour force participation rate rose to 67% in 2015 from 63%.

\n

- But their pay for similar jobs is only 72% of what men are paid.

\n

- So around 60% of women quit their jobs disappointed by their career prospects.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Female participation is crucial for Japan, since its population is declining and also ageing rapidly.

\n

- The elderly will make up 40% of the total population by 2060.

\n

- The ratio of working to retired persons will be 1:1 by 2050.

\n

- The pension and tax burden will be crushing and will affect economic growth.

\n

- If female labour participation is on a par with other industrial nations, Japan's per capita output would be higher by 4%.

\n

- If female participation were on parity with males Japan would gain 8 million workers and its GDP would be risen by 14%.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the strategies employed in womenomics?**

\n\n

\n

- Womenomics initially aimed to have 30% of leadership positions for women in government and business.

\n

- The availability of day-care is a big hurdle to young mothers rejoining the workforce. Thus it also aims to increase 400,000 new centres by the end of 2017.

\n

- The government asked the business establishment to double their childcare leave.

\n

- It calls for greater participation of women on company boards.

\n

- It also contains reforms like removing the tax penalty for working mothers, and introducing new training subsidies to help them return to the workplace.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the Indian scenario?**

\n\n

\n

- In recent years, the female labour force participation rate (LFPR) in India has been falling.

\n

- It fell by 11% between 1987 and 2011.

\n

- Only one-third of the female labour force describes itself as “working”.

\n

- Presumably, the remaining does unpaid work.

\n

- Even among those who are working, the pay gap for similar work is substantial.

\n

- Only in programmes like MGNREGA there is low gender gap.

\n

\n\n

## **What should be done in India?**

\n\n

\n

- The LFPR pattern shows a U-shaped relationship with women’s education attainment.

\n

- As women’s average education achievement crosses eighth or tenth grade schooling, it results in higher LFPR as well as lower fertility.

\n

- e.g The total fertility rate in many southern states, with relatively higher female education attainment, has fallen to replacement levels. Those states also exhibit higher LFPR.

\n

- Beyond education, skilling, pay parity and board positions, India’s agenda also includes providing women leadership positions in political life

\n

- But there is as much a cultural hurdle as an economic policy hurdle to achieving progress for women. e.g [Protests in Nagaland](#) against 33% reservation in local governments.

\n

- But as Japan’s “womenomics” shows, numerical targets do help us get started.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Live Mint**

\n\n

\n

