

Kashmir - The link between Southern and Central Asia

Why in news?

\n\n

On January 2017, the chief minister of J&K had proposed **the opening of a new trade route that would negate regional conflict.**

\n\n

What are the advantages?

\n\n

\n

- With Kashmir as its central point, the route would connect diverse communities who are suffering under India-Pakistan conflicts.

\n

- Also the route will supposedly deliver “regional cooperation, energy transformation, trade and transit.”

\n

- It would connect the two Kashmirs divided between Pakistan and India (Suchetgarh-Sialkot, Kargil-Skardu, Bandipora-Gurez-Gilgit and Nowshera-Mirpur) with roads available for free movement of people and goods.

\n

- Such an arrangement will also supplement the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC).

\n

- The CM’s idea was derived from the history of old routes people took to supply goods across civilisations. Then the **Partition cut off trade routes to Central Asia** as it blocked road connections between India and Pakistan carrying goods westwards.

\n

- Kashmir was the most affected by this.

\n

\n\n

What is the disadvantage?

\n\n

\n

- The roads that once linked India with Central Asia are now corridors of conflict.
- Pakistan is fighting a domestic war in the Chaman and Khyber Pass posts. Trade that passes through is mostly heroin from Afghanistan.
- Pakistan sees India's hand in its trouble with Afghanistan. India sees threats from the trade corridor China is building in Pakistan.
- India exited the Iranian gas pipeline because it **couldn't trust Pakistan as the transit state.**
- Thus trade is used a tool of war instead of spreading peace. Therefore this plan could backfire.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

