

# Joint Owning of Spectrum

## Why in news?

\n\n

Chairman of Bharti Enterprises has made a case for **shared active infrastructure**, including spectrum, to restore the telecom sector's profitability and credibility.

\n\n

### What is the idea and why it is necessary?

\n\n

\n

- At present, each operator builds its own network. If there is a common network, the capital expenditure will come down sharply.  $\n$
- In the proposed scheme of things, spectrum could be jointly owned by 'a network operating company' from which all **service operators can take it on lease**.

\n

- This will transform the telecom operators into purely marketing companies and strengthen their balance sheets.
- This will also lead to **increased efficiency** in usage of the scarce natural resource.

\n

• This idea makes sense, since, the profits of telcos are at an unprecedented low on account of the high price of spectrum and the tariff war between them.

\n

- Also, recently Indian telecom stocks and its overseas interests have taken a huge fall indicating there is no sign of any improvement in the business scenario in near future.
- The case was made for turning the entire country into one telecom circle also.

- Currently, country is divided into 22 circles. And for each circle, an operators needs a separate team. Thus, one circle means lower costs.  $\n$ 

\n\n

#### What is the way forward?

\n\n

∖n

- The transformative impact of telecom is well known to all, and yet the sector is faced with bleak prospects.  $$\n$
- So, the govt needs to consider all the above suggestions.  $\slash n$
- The sub-sea cables are all owned by consortiums of operators. There is sharing of telecom towers as well.  $\gamman$
- Thus, pooling of passive infrastructure has already happened in telecom.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- But, the need of the hour is to extend this idea to the active infrastructure: Spectrum, base stations, fibre optic etc.,  $$\n$

\n\n

\n\n

#### **Source: Business Standard**

\n

