

Issues with the current IPR Regimes

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Trade deals are increasingly being used to ensure stronger patent protection and continued unfair profits for MNCs.

\n

- India must reopen the discussion on balancing the global intellectual property system.

\n

\n\n

What are the problems with the current trade deals?

\n\n

\n

- **Deals** - The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) was signed in 1995 with the backing of WTO.

\n

- For the first time, it embodied an international regulatory regime for Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in 1995.

\n

- Many nations have since promoted excessive protection of private investor interests through bilateral trade agreements.

\n

- **Lobbying** - Corporate lobbies have continued to pressure both home governments & abroad for increased protection of IPRs.

\n

- Countries like US have also been using bilateral trade agreements to ensure that its companies have strong IP protections abroad.

\n

- These moves have often gone against the wider public interests.

\n

- **Evolving Designs** - While patent protection is getting stronger, the conditions for its grant are becoming greatly relaxed.

\n

- Consequently, with little show of original effort, patents can now be claimed on all possible inventions that are of relevance.

- \n
- Therefore, a successful firm of today is not one with the greatest technological breakthroughs but one that has a large IP portfolio, and thrives on licensing revenues.
- \n

\n\n

What does the UNCTAD say?

\n\n

- \n
- The UN Conference on Trade and Development's recent "Trade and Development Report" calls for stronger measures to protect sectors against the undue domination of large companies.
- \n
- It argues that high profits attributed to the present IP regime may be a sign of a sickness and calls for reforms.
- \n
- The report also warns against trade deals that seek to protect the status quo and has identified patents as an instrument of unfair market power across markets.
- \n
- It shows that patent reforms have led to significant increases in the rates of return to affiliate companies of foreign MNCs when compared to locally headquartered companies.
- \n

\n\n

What are the concerns for India?

\n\n

- \n
- For India, the fate of its pharmaceutical and software sectors swings in the balance as foreign companies in these sectors account for most of the transfer of profits across borders.
- \n
- Guaranteeing a fair competition will be critical to ensure that we do not lose more ground to global companies abroad and at home.
- \n
- Our high-tech sectors are already taking a beating as they presently operate in a volatile global environment.
- \n
- Supporting IP standards that simply follow a 'winner takes all' ideology

without emphasis on technological advancement and competitive markets will be a regrettable mistake.

\n

\n\n

How does the future look?

\n\n

\n

- **Fair Stance** - India needs a clear and tough stance on intellectual property both in domestic policy and at the multilateral level.

\n

- Support for innovation has to be accompanied with instruments that guard local companies against the misuse of market power, coercive bargaining and aggressive acquisition strategies.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **WTO conference** - The WTO Ministerial Conference that is coming up in Argentina this December is expected to take up patents issues in a big way.

\n

- There are ongoing attempts by big businesses to push for new rules in areas such as e-commerce.

\n

- Other proposals being made will largely involve limiting the ability of governments to constrain corporate behaviour.

\n

- **India in WTO** - We need therefore to drop our pretence regarding IP and return to old-fashioned pragmatism.

\n

- This would clearly show that India recognises the fallacy of the current IP system and that it desires to broker a new global deal.

\n

- India should also take up the forgotten issues of the Doha Round.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n



SHANKAR
IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering