

Interstate water disputes bill

Why in news?

\n\n

Recently Union government introduced Inter-State River Water Disputes (Amendment) Bill 2017.

\n\n

What is interstate river water disputes act?

\n\n

\n

- The Interstate River Water Disputes Act, 1956 (IRWD Act) is an Act of the Parliament of India enacted under Article 262.
- IRWD Act is applicable only to interstate rivers / river valleys, the Supreme Court and other courts do not have jurisdiction over such disputes but they can interpret verdicts of tribunals.
- Whenever the riparian states are not able to reach amicable agreements on their own in sharing of an interstate river waters, section 4 of IRWD Act provides dispute resolution process in the form of Tribunal. \n
- The tribunal responsibility is not limited to adjudication of issues raised by the concerned states and also investigation of other aspects which are in public domain.

\n

• When the tribunal final verdict issued based on the deliberations on the draft verdict is accepted by the central government and notified in the official gazette.

\n

- The verdict becomes law and binding on the states for implementation. $\space{\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{$

\n\n

What are the provisions included in the amendment?

\n

\n\n

• **Permanent body** - The bill proposes a permanent Inter-State River Water Disputes Tribunal (ISRWDT).

\n

• In the current arrangement, tribunals are formed when a river water dispute arises.

\n

• The ISRWDT will be an eight-member body comprising serving Supreme Court and high court judges.

\n

- The members will retire when they are 70, there was no such limit earlier. $\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1mm}\space{1m$
- Time bound The entire process is restricted to five-and-half years, taking into account all extensions, there is almost no limit on extensions in the current arrangement.
- **Specialized committee** The bill provides for a DRC (Disputes Resolution Committee) to enable negotiated settlements.
- This is an interesting provision, evidently to avoid disputes advancing to the next stage of legal adjudication. \n
- The bill says the Centre will set up the DRC with "members from such relevant fields, as it deems fit, for resolving the disputes amicably". \n
- Data repository The other much touted provision for a data bank and information system.
- There is a similar provision in the current act as well, but it mandates the Centre to create such a repository.

\n\n

What are the shortcoming of the bill?

\n\n

∖n

• There is no clear mentioned provisions about speedy resolution of disputes.

\n

• The bill doesn't fully recognise the need to plug holes in the interstate river water sharing, development and governance.

\n

• The benefits of the amendments will depend on the mechanism's efficiency.

\n

- In any case of data bank, the challenge is not about gathering data and information, but more about states agreeing over a particular piece of data.
- \n
 There are challenges in implementing the tribunal's awards.
- \n
- The ad-hoc mechanisms devised outside this law have not been successful. $\space{\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\space{1.5}\spa$

\n\n

Quick fact

\n\n

Ongoing Interstate water disputes

\n\n

\n

• Sutlej-Yamuna Link canal Dispute - States involved are Punjab & Haryana

∖n

- Cauvery River Dispute States involved are Karnataka & Tamilnadu \nphi^n
- Krishna River Dispute States involved are Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana and Karnataka \n
- Godavari River Dispute States involved are Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Orissa and Karnataka.
- Mullaiperiyar River Dispute States involved are Kerala and Tamilnadu \n
- Mahadayi (or) Mondovi River dispute States involved are Karnataka, Goa & Maharashtra
- Barak River Dispute States involved are Manipur & Assam $\normalin{\cite{n}}$

\n\n

Ongoing water disputes with neighbouring countries:

\n\n

\n

- India-China Zangmu dam issue on Brahmaputra river \slashn
- India-Pakistan on Indus river (Sutlej tributary)

\n

- India-Bangladesh on Teesta river (originates in zemu glacier, Sikkim) $_{n}$

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

∖n

