

Internet as a Basic Human Right

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- Kerala promises to deliver a new optic fibre network, Wi-Fi transmission centres and free Internet facility to two million poor families. \n
- Kerala's finance minister, thus, affirmed access to Internet as a right for every citizen.

∖n

 With this, the southern state joined a clutch of countries like Finland, Estonia, France, Spain, Greece and Costa Rica that have declared the Internet a basic human right. \n

\n\n

Why it should not be a basic human right?

\n\n

\n

 But can access to Internet in general be defined as a basic human right? Vinton G. Cerf, a "father of the Internet" (sharing this title with Bob Kahn) doesn't think so.

\n\n

\n

- In an article, Cerf argued, that the move to declare the Internet as a human right may be well intentioned but misses the point that "technology is an enabler of rights, not a right itself".
- Cerf says that by allowing for the Internet to be defined as a civil right which is "conferred upon us by law" as opposed to a human right which is "intrinsic to us as human beings."
- This brings us to another distinction, i.e., one between positive rights and negative rights, which is more important to developing countries like

India.

∖n

- Negative Rights: They are intrinsic to us as human beings and the Constitution merely guarantees the protection of such rights. \n
- The Constitution usually curtails the power of government or other entities in performing certain actions which violate the negative rights of the individual.

\n

- The right to equality or the right to freedom of expression enshrined in the Constitution of India are examples of such rights. $\nline{\nlin{\nlin{\nlin{\nline{\nlin{\nlin{\nline{\nline{\nline{\$
- Positive Right: It enables the holder of the right to claim a good or a service against the state or someone else.
 \n
- These rights/entitlements—require fiscal allocations and hence are subject to budgetary constraints. (Ex. MGNREGA, RTE etc.,) $_{n}$
- Cerf's idea of right to the Internet as a civil right also comes under the banner of positive rights.
- Positive rights, thus become justiciable and enable the citizens to demand better services from their governments.
- Moreover, declaration as rights enables centralization of power. \n
- A right to education, for instance, mandates a kind of standardization for every corner of the country without taking into account the granular differences on the ground.
- The usefulness of the Internet cannot be overstated and the government should do everything possible to bridge the digital divide among its constituents.
 - \n
- But declaring access to the Internet as a citizen's right is not a defensible proposition.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Live Mint

