India’s Growth Claims vs Data Reality

***Mains**: GS-III – Economy*

Why in News?

India’s official GDP growth figures have been overstated for nearly two decades, with post-2011 growth possibly inflated by 1.5–2 percentage points, it highlights how reliance on formal-sector data masks distress in the informal economy, creating a gap between headline growth and lived realities such as stagnant wages, weak job creation, and concentrated wealth.

What are the impact of even minor variations?

- **Small Variations Matter** – Even a two-percentage-point difference, sustained over a decade, dramatically changes the story of an economy.
- It affects
  - how policymakers judge success,
  - how investors allocate capital and
  - how citizens evaluate their government’s performance.
- **Structural Problem** – India’s economy is largely informal, yet growth estimates rely heavily on formal-sector data risk missing distress where most Indians actually struggle against the vagaries of policy, epidemics and uncertainties to earn their livelihoods.
- **Tilt Toward the Visible** – India increasingly relies on data from organised-sector filings, because it is easier to measure than the informal one, the national accounts can gradually become tilted toward what is visible rather than what is actual and representative.
- This risks missing distress among millions working in small workshops, roadside stalls, family businesses and cash-based trade.
- **Explaining the Puzzle of the Past Decade** – Despite official claims of a high-growth miracle, everyday realities tell a different story
  - Private investment remained subdued,
  - Real wage growth disappointed and
  - Manufacturing never delivered the employment surge that had long been promised.
  - Employment anxiety persisted, particularly for younger workers entering the labour market.

What about the crisis that happened in India & its impact?

- **Crisis After Crisis** – The gap between headline growth and lived experience widened after a series of economic shocks.
  - **Demonetisation (2016)** - Disrupted cash-dependent sectors that dominate informal employment.
  - **GST rollout** - Imposed compliance costs that smaller firms struggled to absorb.
  - **COVID-19 pandemic** - Hit the informal economy with disproportionate force.
- **Invisible in the Numbers** – When growth estimates rely on organised sector indicators, that disproportionately damage informal economy can disappear statistically even while they reshape employment and household income.
- **Central Contradiction** – India's current growth model shows a troubling imbalance:
  - **Wealth concentration** among relatively small segment of the population — particularly in large corporations and the financial elite.
  - While the **public programmes** meant to protect citizens from risk and deprivation have **weakened** in reach or effectiveness.
  - Result – Growth is occurring, but its *benefits are narrowing even as the economic safety net thins*, GDP rises, but insecurity spreads beneath the surface.
- **Politics of "Formalisation"** – Formalisation can certainly reflect genuine economic progress, but it can also mask a harsher transition
  - the small enterprise disappears,
  - the large one absorbs the market, and
  - the national accounts record the shift as efficiency.
- **Democratic Stakes** – A kirana shop closing its shutters is not necessarily a sign of national modernisation simply because a corporate chain can be counted more neatly.
- At this point the issue stops being purely technical and becomes democratic.

What are the impacts created by the inconvenient data & delayed census?

- **Transparency Under Question** – If the country's most important economic statistic—GDP—is under serious doubt, the natural response should be greater transparency.
- Yet, in recent years India's statistical ecosystem has moved in the opposite direction.
- **Key Episodes of Data Withholding**
  - **Census Delay** – Policymakers are still relying on outdated 2011 population data.
  - **Consumption Survey (2017–18)** – Not released after reportedly showing a decline in household spending (pre-COVID data).
  - **Labour Force Survey (2019)** – Indicating the highest unemployment rate in decades became the centre of controversy before elections, and led to resignations from the National Statistical Commission.
- **The Larger Pattern** – Each episode can be explained individually, but taken together, they raise a broader question about how comfortable the state remains with inconvenient data.

What needs to be done?

- **Statistics as Public Infrastructure** – Economic statistics are not decorative

achievements for speeches, but they are foundational tools:
    - Citizens rely on them to judge government performance.
    - Economists rely on them to design policy.
    - Governments rely on them to detect problems before they become crises.
- **Credibility Is Essential** – For a country of India's scale and ambition, credibility in economic measurement is not a luxury - it is foundational.
    - Unemployment cannot be solved with slogans.
    - Demand cannot be revived with hashtags.
    - Investor confidence cannot be built with celebratory charts.
    - The real growth must withstand scrutiny.
- **India's Present needs** –
    - A restoration of independent statistical authority,
    - Economic indicators that reflect the lived realities of the informal workforce and the rural and urban poor, and
    - An end to measurement shortcuts that obscure rather than illuminate the economy.
- **Honest Numbers, Democratic Accountability** – Statistics should describe the country truthfully, not flatter those in power, without trustworthy data, democracy itself is weakened.

Reference

[The Hindu | India's growth claims, a clash with data reality]

SHANKAR IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering