

India and ICAN

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• ICAN was awarded the 2017 Nobel Peace Prize for its efforts on nuclear prohibition.

\n

• India denounced from voting in favour of a resolution brought in by ICAN, in this regard.

\n

• This gains significance in the larger context of India's place in nuclear non-proliferation.

\n

 $n\n$

What is ICAN?

 $n\n$

۱n

• The International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons was launched in 2007.

\n

 \bullet It is a global civil society coalition which constitutes 468 partner organizations from around 10o countries.

\n

• The campaign helped bring about the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.

\n

• It works primarily to promote adherence to and full implementation of this treaty.

\n

 \bullet It called upon nuclear-armed states to initiate negotiations to gradual elimination of the world's 15,000 nuclear weapons. \n

• Its uniqueness is that it pays attention to the humanitarian threat posed by nuclear weapons.

\n

What was its resolution?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Earlier this year, ICAN came up with an international treaty that was negotiated and concluded at the United Nations. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The treaty will come into effect only when 50 nations have ratified it; so far, only a handful have done so.
- \bullet When it comes into force, it will be binding only on those who have ratified it. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- \bullet Notably, none of the nine nuclear powers, including India and Pakistan, associated themselves with the treaty or the related negotiations at the UN. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- \bullet Many of them view the possession of nuclear weapons as deterrents to war. $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- Officially, India, holds up its commitment to a nuclear weapons-free world.
- \bullet However, it stresses that there must be a "universal, non-discriminatory and verifiable nuclear disarmament". $\mbox{\sc h}$
- It stayed away from the treaty citing the Conference of Disarmament as the right forum to negotiate a "step-by-step process" to achieve a nuclear weapons-free world.

 $n\n$

What does India's stance imply?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet If India had joined the other member states in voting for the resolution, it would have signalled itself as a major force for peace. \n
- By not doing so, India has alienated itself from a majority of the international community sizeably from the non-aligned nations.
- India has denied the opportunity of being the only Nuclear Weapon Power to continue with the record of championing for peace cause.
- This has rendered meaningless India's adherence over the past to various

nuclear disarmament efforts.

\n

 $\hbox{-} \hbox{Moreover, seeking a resolution through Conference on Disarmament (CD) also seems almost unachievable. } \\ \hbox{\backslashn$}$

 $n\n$

Quick Fact

 $n\n$

Conference on Disarmament (CD)

 $n\$

\n

- CD is a forum established in 1979 by the international community to negotiate multilateral arms control and disarmament agreements.
- \bullet It is a forum used by its member states (around 65), to negotiate the Biological Weapons Convention and the Chemical Weapons Convention. \n
- While the conference is not formally a UN organization, it is linked to it through a personal representative of the United Nations Secretary-General.
- Resolutions adopted by the UN General Assembly often request the conference to consider specific disarmament matters and in turn, the conference annually reports its activities to the Assembly.
- The CD works by consensus, and nuclear powers, including India and Pakistan, assemble there mainly to block each other.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

