

Implications of fine on google

Why in news?

 $n\n$

European Union's competition watchdog has fined Google with 2.42 billion euro.

 $n\n$

What was the reason?

 $n\n$

\n

• 'Google Shopping' is Google's own price-comparison website.

 Google is alleged of abusing its position of dominance in the market by favouring products listed on 'Google Shopping', over those on competing websites.

\n

 $n\n$

Is the judgment justified?

 $n\n$

\n

• The fine is still considered baseless because businesses can have a valid economic reason to showcase certain products more prominently than others.

\n

• Advertisements can be considered faulty and punishable only if the standards are not met.

\n

• Google holds over 80% of the market share in Europe's search engine market.

\n

- \bullet But it is not a legal monopoly, as anybody is free to compete against it. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- So this did not call for an economic regulation by EU.

\n

• The decision also rejected the pro-consumer product design of Google Shopping.

\n

 $n\$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- It should be ensured that EU's regulatory overreach is not a barrier for innovation and consumers.
- \bullet Innovations that benefit consumers must be properly differentiated from those that hurt competitors. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

