

GST Compensation Cess

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

GST Compensation Cess intended to compensate the losses of states for the first 5 years of GST doesn't stand on strong constitutional ground.

 $n\n$

What is a Cess?

 $n\n$

\n

- A cess is a tax on tax, levied by the government for a specific purpose.
- \bullet The contributor and beneficiary of a cess must be relatable. \n
- Under Article 270 of the Constitution, proceeds of a cess can be retained exclusively by the Union and need not be shared with States.
- The objective is to ensure that expenditure goes for that specific purpose.

 $n\n$

What is GST compensation cess?

 $n\n$

۱n

- As part of the GST reforms, this Cess has been introduced through the GST (Compensation to States) Act, 2017.
- It is levied on inter- and intra-State supply of notified goods such as aerated drinks, coal, tobacco, automobiles for 5 years.
- The proceeds will be distributed to loss-incurring States on the basis of a prescribed formula as compensation.

 $n\n$

What are the shortcomings?

 $n\$

\n

• Once the money is transferred to State governments, it can be used to fund any scheme.

۱n

• It may even be used to fill the government's fiscal deficit.

• Further, there is no relation between the persons contributing to the cess and the recipients, the State governments.

• The goods earmarked for the cess, such as aerated drinks, coal, tobacco, automobiles and "other supplies", do not form a distinct category deserving the liability to pay this cess.

\n

 The sin goods argument also fails as the luxury goods & jewellery are not covered.

۱n

 \bullet The term "other supplies" leaves much to the discretion of the government. $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$

 $n\n$

Is it constitutionally valid?

 $n\n$

\n

- The 122nd Constitution Amendment Bill initially proposed a 1% additional tax to compensate States but this was later withdrawn.
- Article 271 has been amended to state that an additional tax/surcharge cannot be imposed over and above the GST rates.
- The GST Council's power to recommend a special rate is confined to raising resources only during any natural calamity or disaster.
- So this cess cannot be justified under such power either.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

