

Governance Guidelines for AI

Mains: GS II - Governance

Why in News?

Recently, The Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) has unveiled governance guidelines for Artificial Intelligence (AI), which could serve as a blueprint for how India regulates the technology, balancing innovation with accountability and growth with safety.

How guidelines were prepared?

- Experts committee The guidelines were drafted by a high-level committee consisting of policy experts under the chairmanship of Prof. Balaraman Ravindran, IIT Madras.
- The committee went through extensive deliberations and prepared a draft report, which was opened for public consultation.
- **Guiding principle** The guiding principle that defines the spirit of the framework is... 'Do No Harm'.
- **Main focus** The key focus is on creating sandboxes for innovation and on ensuring risk mitigation within a flexible, adaptive system."
- Action Plan on AI

EMPOWER THE India AI mission, ministries, sectoral regulators and state governments to increase AI adoption, through initiatives on infrastructure development and increasing access to data and computing re-

ADOPT A graded liability system based on the function performed,

level of risk, and whether due diligence was observed

INTEGRATE AI with Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) to promote scalability, interoperability and inclusivity

CONDUCT SAFETY testing and evaluations

INCREASE DATA availability, sharing, and usability for AI development and adoption with robust data portability standards and data governance frameworks

ENCOURAGE THE use of locally relevant datasets to support the creation of culturally representative models

The launch of the guidelines comes ahead of the **India-AI Impact Summit** 2026, which will be the first-ever global AI summit hosted in the Global South.

What the guidelines say?

- Infrastructure The report calls for expanding access to data and compute resources, including subsidised graphics processing units (GPUs) and India-specific datasets through platforms like AIKosh.
- It urges integration of AI with Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) such as Aadhaar and

Unified Payments Interface (UPI).

- It also urges the government to incentivise private investment and adoption by MSMEs, with tax rebates and AI-linked loans.
- **Regulation** India's approach will be agile and sector-specific, applying existing laws (like the IT Act and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act) while plugging gaps through targeted amendments.
- The report rules out an immediate need for a standalone AI law, but calls for updates on classification, liability, and copyright, including consideration of a "text and data mining" exception. It also urges frameworks for content authentication to counter deepfakes and for international cooperation on AI standards.
- At the heart of it all is human centricity, ensuring AI serves humanity and benefits people's lives while addressing potential harms.
- **Risk mitigation** As stated earlier, the report proposes an India-specific risk assessment framework to reflect local realities, along with the use of voluntary frameworks and techno-legal measures.
- **Accountability** A graded liability regime is proposed, with responsibility tied to function and risk level.
- Organisations must adopt grievance redressal systems, transparency reporting, and self-certification mechanisms.
- **Institutions** The framework envisions a whole-of-government approach, led by an AI Governance Group (AIGG), supported by a Technology & Policy Expert Committee (TPEC), and technically backed by the AI Safety Institute (AISI).
- Capacity building The guidelines emphasise AI literacy and training for citizens, public servants, and law enforcement.
- They recommend scaling up existing skilling programs to bridge gaps in smaller cities and enhance technical capacity across government institutions.

What are the questions and issues discussed?

- **Red flags** Even as the government looks to encourage AI with little regulatory burden, there are internal red flags over data privacy and inference risks, especially when such systems are being used by key government officials.
- **Frequent questions** There have been questions raised regarding the use of AI in governance such as:
 - \circ What happens when a government officer uploads an internal note to an AI chatbot for a quick summary?
 - What happens when a police department asks an AI assistant to optimise CCTVs across a city?
 - What happens when a policymaker uses a conversational model to draft an interministerial brief?
 - Can the AI system analyse such prompts at scale, identify the user, infer their role, draw patterns across gueries and predict strategic intent?
- **Free AI usages** Amid growing concern about the rapid proliferation of generative AI (GenAI) platforms in India, especially those run by foreign firms, often bundled as free services with telecom subscriptions.
- Spheres of discussion Two broad areas are under discussion.
 - **First**, whether queries made by top functionaries bureaucrats, policy advisers,

- scientists, corporate leaders and influential academics could be mapped to identify priorities, timelines, or weaknesses.
- **Second**, whether anonymised mass usage data from millions of Indian users could help global firms. One issue, sources said, is whether to "protect" official systems from foreign AI services.
- **Proposed AI content labelling** As per the draft amendments to the IT Rules, released last month, social media platforms would have to get users to:
 - Declare whether the uploaded content is synthetically generated.
 - Deploy "reasonable and appropriate technical measures", including automated tools or other suitable mechanisms, to verify the accuracy of such declaration.
 - Where such declaration or technical verification confirms that the content is synthetically generated, ensure that this information is clearly and prominently displayed with an appropriate label or notice.
- If they fail to comply, the platforms may lose the legal immunity they enjoy from third-party content,
 - It means that the responsibility of such platforms shall extend to taking reasonable and proportionate technical measures to verify the correctness of user declarations and to ensure that no synthetically generated information is published without such declaration or label.

Reference

The Indian Express | Governance Guidelines for AI

